

Tour de la Bourse
Bureau 3700, C.P. 242
800, Place Victoria
Montréal (Québec) Canada H4Z 1E9

514 397 7400 Téléphone
514 397 7600 Télécopieur
1 800 361 6266 Sans frais



Me André Turmel
Direct (514) 397 5141
aturmel@fasken.com

May 13, 2011

File: 10887/118243.00005

BY ELECTRONIC DEPOSIT

Me Véronique Dubois, Secrétaire
Régie de l'énergie
800 Place Victoria, 2^e étage, bureau 255
Montréal (Québec) H4Z 2A2

Re: R-3757-2011 – La Romaine

Dear consoeur :

The present is a request to the Régie to order HQT to complete, answer and file the information requested by NLH in the file captioned.

Requests 1.1 and 1.2: the documents requested are to be filed in the present case. The process of investment authorization is said to be in part an equivalent to a planning exercise. It is essential to be able to see how the project has evolved from the impact study to the present.

Request 1.3: We understand the word “load flow” study to mean “écoulement de puissance” and also include an “analyse de stabilité”. HQT knows the base case it used to measure the impacts of the generating plant integration. “Base case” means the situation prior to the plant getting on line with the dispatch scenario. We can provide an example of such studies if required.

Request 1.4: HQT refers to a concept that it has not defined, namely the “North East axis” a vague reference to a figure does not provide a definition. The second part of the question pertains to the capability created on this axis by the present investment. This is the very essence of the reason why this is the best investment to be made. HQT has to know at the term of its studies when it requests approbation what the improvement they propose will create as far as capability is concerned. During the course of the study HQT chose a voltage level, the decision to construct at 735 must have been based on an

DM_MTL/118243-00005/2559984.1

analysis at 735 to make such a decision and justify the investment authorization. Thus, we understand that no new studies are required since they should have been done.

Request 1.5: The second part of the question goes to the very heart of the matter: what congestion or limitation or constraint are the new equipment/investment helping to alleviate. The question is not, whether HQT respects its own “conception criteria”. Please provide System stability studies and flow studies in support of this answer.

This information requests are of a technical nature and are pertinent for the assessment of the project.

NLH requests the Régie to order to HQT to complete the information requested.

NLH also reserves its rights in this matter and requests the Régie to postpone the due date for comments considering the missing information.

Best regards,

FASKEN MARTINEAU DuMOULIN LLP

(s) Nathalie Bonneau, secretary in the absence of:

André Turmel

AT/nb

c.c : By email to Me Yves Fréchette, attorney for HQT and all the interveners