Impact of temperature variation on supply costs and simplified application of the Rule of "Thirds"

	Variation	Warm	Normal	Extreme	Variation
Volume ($10^6 m^3$)	**-3,83%**	**5 864**	**6 097**	**6 359**	**4,30%**
Transportation costs ($)					
Primary and secondary FTLH	0,00%	58 300 253	58 300 253	58 300 253	0,00%
FTSH (Parkway)	0,00%	79 637 553	79 637 553	79 637 553	0,00%
FTSH (Dawn)	0,00%	17 254 717	17 254 717	17 254 717	0,00%
STS	0,00%	34 571 411	34 571 411	34 571 411	0,00%
M12 + C1	0,00%	30 917 257	30 917 257	30 917 257	0,00%
SH secondary market	0,00%	21 682 187	21 682 187	21 682 187	0,00%
FTSH Compression	-5,37%	12 393 517	13 097 067	13 793 869	5,32%
Other (Dawn-Empress trade)	0,00%	0	0	0	0,00%
Sale of Unused FTLH transportation					
Functionalizing F purchases on transportation	0,00%	-45 231 146	-45 231 146	-45 231 146	0,00%
Compressor Fuel Credit					
Credit/Delivery (expenses)					
Total—transportation costs ($)	**-0,33%**	**209 525 749**	**210 229 299**	**210 926 101**	**0,33%**
Storage costs ($)	**-0,25%**	**36 956 287**	**37 049 361**	**38 535 297**	**4,01%**
Transportation and storage costs ($)	**-0,32%**	**246 482 037**	**247 278 659**	**249 461 398**	**0,88%**
Supply	-4,32%	746 964 948	780 667 079	817 575 597	4,73%
Maintaining inventory	1,08%	1 480 157	1 464 344	1 448 826	-1,06%
Total supply costs ($)	**-3,35%**	**994 927 142**	**1 029 410 083**	**1 068 485 821**	**3,80%**
Cost per unit sold ($)	**0,50%**	**0,1697**	**0,1688**	**0,1680**	**-0,48%**
Cost/revenue difference—Rates based on Normal					
Overpayment (shortfall)—Transportation		-5 351 289		6 016 880	
Overpayment (shortfall)—Load-balancing		-4 210 006		4 733 646	
Customer-related variation 100% of CU ($)		**0**		**0**	
Customer-related variation at less than 100% of CU ($)		**-9 561 295**		**10 750 526**	

Expert-suggested calculation method		*$*	*$*	*$*		
Theoretical transport 100% of CU (Dawn-EDA)	***-3,83%***	*134 520 840*	*139 872 129*	*145 889 009*	***4,30%***	*Based on the cheapest tools* [1]
Theoretical supply 100% of CU	***-3,83%***	*749 674 367*	*779 496 690*	*813 028 302*	***4,30%***	*Standardized delivery method*
Load-balancing	***0,63%***	*110 731 934*	*110 041 264*	*109 568 509*	***-0,43%***	*Balance of costs to split between load-balancing and operational flexibility*

1 Hypothesis taken by Énergir before the February 17th meeting. However, during the meeting the Elenchus expert mentioned that the average cost of the tools should be used.