### RESPONSE OF GAZ MÉTRO LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (GAZ MÉTRO) TO THE IGUA'S REQUEST FOR INFORMATION NO. 1 PRESENTED TO GAZ MÉTRO LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

#### R-3867-2013, PHASE 3

Gaz Métro - Application regarding the generic matter relating to the allocation of costs and Gaz Métro's rate structure

#### 1. Notion of marginal cost

#### **References:**

- (i) R-3879-2014, Gaz Metro 17, document 4, page 5
- O'Sullivan, Arthur; Sheffrin, Steven M. (2003). *Economics: Principles in Action.* Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458: Pearson Prentice Hall. p. 111. <u>ISBN 0-13-063085-3</u>
- (iii) B-0145, page 4

#### Preamble

- (i) "The marginal cost of service delivery is defined as being all costs that may be associated with the customer, once the latter agrees to become a Gaz Métro customer. It consists of the marginal costs the customer will generate and the internal costs associated with maintaining its facilities and the services that will be provided directly thereto."
- (ii) "In economics, marginal cost is the change in the total cost that arises when the quantity produced is incremented by one unit, that is, it is the cost of producing one more unit of a good."
- (iii) "Black and Veatch has used its economic, planning and operating experience to evaluate and review the O&M costs as required by the Regie for reasonableness despite our reservations that such costs are not properly considered part of the extension line policy as discussed above." (emphasis added)

#### Questions:

1.1. Please confirm that the definition for the notion of marginal cost used in the context of the profitability assessment of the system expansion projects is the one produced in reference (i). Please correct if necessary.

#### Response:

Gaz Métro confirms it.

*1.2.* Please confirm that the marginal cost used in the profitability assessment of the system expansion projects refers only to operating costs associated with the addition of a customer and excludes capital costs. If not, please correct.

### **Response:**

Gaz Métro confirms it.

1.3. Please explain how the term "marginal cost" used by Gaz Métro in this matter differs from the notion of marginal cost used for the purposes of rates, and a definition of which can be found in reference (ii).

#### **Response:**

In this case, the marginal cost of one additional unit is almost always zero because of lumpy costs and the absence of continuous cost functions. Please remember that in economic theory marginal cost is the first

derivative of a continuous total cost function with respect to output. Utility cost functions are not continuous because of lumpy additions, technological changes and sunk costs that render the long-run cost of market models impossible since no period is long enough to make all costs variable when plant is added discreetly over time to provide capacity. We have used a reasonable process to address these constraints but also recognize inadequacies of long-run marginal cost estimates of O&M.

1.4. Please explain exactly what reservations are referred to in the Black & Veatch study in the citation in reference (iii). Please elaborate.

#### **Response:**

As noted in the quoted section, B&V discusses it reservations related to including all O&M within the line extension policy simply because most of the costs are fixed over wide ranges of customer additions and hence are not relevant to the added costs of attaching new customers. Most line extension policies focus on the levelized cost of capital including an amount for the O&M on that capital cost simply because the other costs are typically not marginal costs over the expected range of customer additions. Further, those costs would be recovered in average cost based rates when the customer charge is properly cost based.

#### 2. Method for estimating marginal costs.

#### **References:**

- (i) R-3879 -2014, B-0154, page 5
- (ii) B-0145, page 7
- (iii) Report to Ergon Energy Estimating the Average Incremental cost of Ergon Energy's distribution network, Harry Colebourn Pty Ltd, mars 2015, page 2
- (iv) B-0145, page 7
- (v) B-0145, page 11

#### Preamble:

- (i) "The methodology consists in identifying then analyzing the departments whose activities and costs are directly linked with the customer. A series of interviews with the cost centre managers was conducted in order to identify, per market, the activities generated by a new customer or the addition of a load with an existing customer.
- (ii) "Black & Veatch has reviewed the methodology and analysis used to develop its proposed marginal costs and we find the approach more appropriate for future use than the current \$157 value."
- (iii)

Ergon Energy is seeking to estimate the Long Run Marginal Cost (LRMC) of supply from its distribution network. This is being done to ensure compliance with the requirements of clause of the Rules, in setting network tariffs'<sup>A</sup>.

There are three generally accepted methods of estimating the LRMC for network businesses. These are:

- The Perturbation or "Turvey" approach, in which the incremental capital and operating costs associated with a hypothetical permanent increment in demand provide the basis for the cost estimate;
- The Average Incremental Cost (AIC] approach, in which the growth related components of the current capital and operating expenditure forecasts and the current demand forecast provide the cost estimate; and

- The Long Run Incremental (LRIC) approach calculates the annualised cost of the next proposed investment measured relative to an increment in demand. An example of this approach is the Common Distribution Charging Methodology (CDCM), which [las formed the basis for distribution tariffs in the United Kingdom for many years<sup>2</sup>. This model is based upon the creation of a hypothetical network for the supply of a demand of 500 MW, using the spatial characteristics and standardised equipment typical for the distributor.
- (iv) "Gaz metro has identified a minimum and maximum value for each component and market to make the best approximation of marginal costs in the profitability analysis."
- (v) On page 11 of reference B-0145, it is written that the marginal cost for one industrial client varies from \$390.70 to \$735.21.

### Questions:

2.1. Please confirm that the methodology used to estimate the marginal operating cost applied to the profitability assessment of the investment projects is the one described in preamble (i). If necessary, please complete this description or produce the reference that contains a full description of the methodology.

### Response:

Gaz Métro confirms it.

2.2. Please specify to which methodology the Black & Veatch report is referring in the citation in preamble (ii).

### Response:

The methodology is the Gaz Metro review process.

2.3. The citation in preamble (iii) identifies the three methods that are commonly recognized for establishing a long-term marginal cost. In the opinion of Black & Veatch, could one of these three methods have been used to estimate the marginal operating cost applicable to the profitability assessment of the investment project? Please elaborate.

### Response:

No. In the first place these methods are concerned with capacity additions not customers. Second, customer connections under line extension policies are dictated by the adopted regulatory policy that may or may not be economic. This makes some costs such as subsidies (essentially transfer payments) not marginal social costs at all. These costs should not be considered in marginal O&M costs. Third, where appropriate it is reasonable to calculate marginal cost for decision making based on average cost as the best prediction of future marginal cost even though such costs may not impact revenue requirements until a sufficient number of additional customers is added to reach a level where new expense is incurred. This is the phenomenon of lumpy costs. Finally, certain O&M expenses are sunk cost in nature because the resources used to provide the service are also lumpy in nature.

2.4 Please explain how the minimum and maximum limits (ref (iv)) for the marginal cost of each cost item was determined?

### **Response:**

The marginal cost will differ depending on the customer's reality within a single market. The values between the minimum and maximum levels represent the scale of possibilities. Please refer to the response to question 1.1 of the Régie's request for information no. 5, Exhibit Gaz Métro-8, Document 1, for more details.

2.5 Please explain the approach that will be used to determine the exact level of the marginal cost, somewhere between the minimum and maximum levels, to be used in the profitability assessment of an investment project (ref (v)).

## **Response:**

The specific value used is based on the activity level required. Please refer to the response to question 1.1 of the Régie's request for information no. 5, Exhibit Gaz Métro-8, Document 1, for more details.

### 3. Evaluation of the proposed marginal cost's impact on project profitability

### **Reference:**

- (i) R-3991-2016, B-0010, page 1
- (ii) R-3970-2016, B-0143, page 10

### Preamble

(i) As indicated in reference (i), the operating cost used in the profitability assessment of the Drummondville system extension project is \$157 per customer.

The internal rate of return (IRR) for this project is evaluated at 6.01%.

(ii) On line 38 of reference (ii), the IRR for investment projects in the "large corporation" market is evaluated at 168.9% for projects involving new customers, and 17.65% for projects involving additional loads. Overall, the IRR is 69.70%.

#### Questions:

**3.1.** Please calculate what the IRR of the project cited in reference (i) would be if the approach proposed by Gaz Métro regarding the marginal operating cost were to be retained. Please produce all of the data used for the calculation. More specifically, please indicate the exact value of the marginal cost that would have been used for this project and explain this choice.

### Response:

The IRR would be 5.89%. A new version of the revenues required, along with the parameters and hypotheses used, are filed in Schedule 1. The value of the marginal cost used (presented as being the operating cost for the revenue required) is that which corresponds to Gaz Métro's proposal.

**3.2.** Please indicate whether the profitability of the project cited in reference (i) would have been sufficient to meet the profitability criterion currently approved by the Régie.

### Response:

The Project's profitability satisfies the criterion approved by the Régie. Indeed, as can be seen from the results of the revenue required in Schedule 1, the IRR would have been 5.89%, greater than the prospective capital cost of 5.28% authorized by the Régie in its decision D-2016-156.

**3.3.** In the opinion of Gaz Métro, what would the IRRs have been for all three investment projects affecting the "large corporation" segment of customers contemplated in the 2017 development plan (ref(ii)), if the approach proposed by Gaz Métro had been applied.

### Response:

The following table presents the IRRs for all three investment projects affecting the segment of "Large corporations" customers in the 2017 development plan, if the approach proposed by Gaz Métro had been applied.

Application relating to the marginal costs of long-term service delivery

applied to the profitability analysis, R-3867-2013

	New Customers	Additional Load	Total
Number of Customers	1	2	3
IRR, with the former approach	169.93%	17.65%	69.70%
(R-3970-2016, B-0143, page 10)			
IRR with the proposed approach	167.94%	17.69%	69.38%

Parameters	
Service life of the assets (years) - service line	44
Service life of the assets (years) - connection	21
Public utility tax	1.50%
Capital tax - Y1	0.00%
Capital tax - Y2	0.00%
Royalty - Régie de l'énergie (¢/103m3)	0.6046
Royalty - Régie du bâtiment (¢/103m3)	0.4560
Taxes - Y1	27.28
Taxes - Y2 and later	26.90
Cost of debt	2.820
Cost of equity	8.168
Proportion of debt	54.00
Proportion of equity	46.00
Weighted prospective capital cost	5.28
Project Hypotheses	
Investments	\$1,620,403
General expenses (14.53%)	\$235,445
Customer contributions	-
Total investments	\$1,855,848
Operating costs (Y1)	\$2,640
Operating costs (Y2)	\$2,560
Depreciation (fiscal)	6%
Revenues and Volumes	
Volume - Y1 (103m3)	2,020
Volume - Y2 and later (103m3)	2,020
Rate - Y1 (¢/m3)	8,2380
Rate - Y2 and later (¢/m3)	8,2380
Rate Contribution	
IRR	5.89%
Break-even rate (years)	22.01
Rate contribution (5 years)	\$104,737
Rate contribution (10 years)	\$124,444
Rate contribution (40 years)	\$ (364,975)

# Marginal Cost

Characteristics	Options	Year 1	Year 2 and later
Market type	CII	90.41	10.11
Meter type	Inspection rotary gas meter, radiometry	42.24	42.24
Corrective instruments and telem	etry		
RCP application	Commercial		
Meters of service line	4,250 meters at \$0.59/ml	2,507.50	2,507.50
Total marginal costs		2640.15	2559.85

	~^~~M				l				
<b>A</b> GazMétro	GAZ MÉTRO LIMITED PARTNERSHIP CALCULATION OF REVENUE REQUIRED						REVENUE REQUIRED		
life in blue		BUDGET pag	ge 1		SRR-VERSION 17.0				
Serres Demers		Project type	Exte	ension - Estimate	Representative	1			
		Region Customer type	COMM	Montérégie - Major accounts	Advisor OTP				
		oustonier type	COMM.	Major accounts	Municipality	l	Drummondville		
		Capital cost D-201		6.42%	Length, in linea	ar meters			
		Weighted prospec	tive capital costs	5.28%					
	Total	0	1	2	3	4	5		
Number of customers	10101		1	1	1	1	1		
Volume, in 1000 m <sup>3</sup> Expense of service lines - Base	908,900	908.900	2,020.0	2,020.0	2,020.0	2,020.0	2,020.0 0		
Expense of service lines - Contractor fees	148,622	148,622	0 0	Ő	0	0	0		
Expense of service lines	1,057,522	1,057,522	0	0	0	0	0		
Expense of connections - Base Expense of connections - Contractor fees	471,834 85,845	471,834 85,845	0	0	0	0	0		
Expense of connections - Cost of meter(s)	5,202	5,202	0	0	0	0	0		
Cost of connections	562,881	562,881	0	0	0	0	0		
UMQ fees (0.00%) General corp. fees (14.53%)	235,445	0 235,445	0	0	0	0	0		
RCP - 5 years	200,0	200,110	0	0	0	0	0		
RCP - 10 years			0	0	0	0	0		
CASEP - RCP (10 years) Non-depreciable assets			0	0	0	0	0		
System connection contrib./Deadline/Location		0	0	0	0	0	0		
CASEP - Capital Property External subsidies		0	0	0	0	0	0		
Customer contributions		0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total investment	1,855,848	1,855,848	0	0	0	0	0		
Operating cost			2,640	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560		
Book depreciation Public utility tax			57,954 56,968	57,954 26,099	57,954 25,230	57,954 24,360	57,954 23,491		
Royalties			2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142		
Taxes Yield			26,097 96,459	6,037 93,399	7,621 90,339	9,061 87,279	10,367 84,219		
Revenue required			212,261	188,191	185,845	183,357	180,733		
Revenues			0	0	0	0	0		
Distribution rate $(\phi/m^3)$			8.2380	8.2380	8.2380	8.2380	8.2380		
Rate rebate (¢/m <sup>3</sup> ) Distribution revenue (¢/m <sup>3</sup> )			0.0000 8.2380	0.0000 8.2380	0.0000 8.2380	0.0000 8.2380	0.0000 8.2380		
Distribution revenue (\$)			166,408	166,408	166,408	166,408	166,408		
Annual rate contribution			45,853	21,784	19,438	16,949	14,326		
					-	-			
Annual rate contribution			6 11,576	7 8,707	5,727	<u> </u>	10 (543)		
							(2.0)		
Rate contribution (3 years) Rate contribution (5 years)	79,864 104,737		Rate contribution ( Rate contribution (			97,866 41,093			
Rate contribution (10 years)	124,444		Rate contribution (			(364,975)			
Break-even rate (years)	22.01		Grid used			Accounts Level 5			
Internal rate of return (IRR 40 years)	5.89%		Superior signing	officer - Sales -	- > President				
641 FC									
SALES									
Representative	Date//	Director, Sale	es Date _	//	Senior Executive Sales	e, Date/	·/		
Vice-President, Sales and Market Dev.	Date //	President	Date _	//					

GazMétro GA	REVENUE REQUIRED SRR-VERSION 17.0					
PROJECT Serres Demers	Project type Region Customer type Capital cost D-20' Weighted prospec	COMM.	ension - Estimate Montérégie - Major accounts 6.42% 5.28%	Representative Advisor OTP Municipality Length, in linea		Drummondville
	5	6	7	8	9	10
Number of customers	0	1	1	1	1	1
Volume, in 1000 m <sup>3</sup>		2,020	2,020	2,020	2,020	2,020
Expense of service lines - Base Expense of service lines - Contractor fees	0	0	0	0	0	0
Expense of service lines	0	0	0	0	0	0
Expense of connections - Base	0	0	0	0	0	0
Expense of connections - Contractor fees	0	0	0	0	0	0
Expense of connections - Cost of meter(s) Cost of connections	00	00	00	0 0	00	0 0
UMQ fees (0.00%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
General corp. fees (14.53%)	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	0
RCP - 5 years	0	0	0	0	0	0
RCP - 10 years	0	0	0	0	0	0
CASEP - RCP (10 years) Non-depreciable assets	0	0	0	0	0	0
System connection contrib./Deadline/Location	0	0	0	Ő	Ő	0
CASEP - Capital Property	0	0	0	0	0	0
External subsidies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Customer contributions Total investment	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560
Operating cost Book depreciation	57,954	57,954	57,954	57,954	57,954	57,954
Public utility tax	23,491	22,622	21,753	20,883	20,014	19,145
Royalties	2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142
Taxes	10,367	11,546	12,607	13,556	14,400	15,145
Yield Revenue required	84,219 180,733	81,159 177,983	78,099 175,115	75,039 172,134	71,979 169,049	68,919 165,865
•	180,733	0	0	0	0	105,005
Revenues Distribution rate (¢/m <sup>3</sup> )	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380
Rate rebate $(\phi/m^3)$	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
Distribution revenue (¢/m <sup>3</sup> )	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380
Distribution revenue (\$)	166,408	166,408	166,408	166,408	166,408	166,408
Annual rate contribution	14,326	11,576	8,707	5,727	2,641	(543)
					1	1
Annual rate contribution		11 (3 820 )	12 (7 184 )	13 (10 630 )	(14 153 )	15 (17 748 )
Annual rate contribution		(3 820 )	(7 184 )	(10.630.)	(14 153 )	(17/46)
Rate contribution (3 years) 79,80	64	Rate contribution	(15 years)		97,866	
Rate contribution (5 years) 104,73		Rate contribution			41,093	
Rate contribution (10 years) 124,4		Rate contribution (	40 years)		(364,975)	
Break-even rate (years) 22,0		4				
Internal rate of return (IRR 40 years) 5,89	%	]				
SALES						
SALES	l					1

Representative	Date//	Director, Sales	Date//	Senior Executive, Sales	Date//
Vice-President, Sales and Market Dev.	Date //	President	Date//		

A							
	MÉTRO LIMITED PAR					REVENUE REQUIRE	Ð
GazMétro CALCU	JLATION OF REVENUI BUDGET		JIRED				
7 memble						SRR-VERSION 17.0	
PROJECT Serres Demers	Project type		Extension	- Estimate	Representative		
	Region			Vontérégie	Advisor		
	Customer type		COMM Majo	or accounts	OTP		Davana en de ille
	Capital cost D-201	6-156		6.42%	Municipality Length, in linear		Drummondville
	Weighted prospect		ital costs	5.28%	Length, in inea	meters	
	0 1 1						
		10	11	12	13		15
Number of customers Volume, in 1000 m <sup>3</sup>	2	1 ,020	1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020
Expense of service lines - Base	£.	0	0	0	0		0
Expense of service lines - Contractor fees		0	0	0	0		0
Expense of service lines Expense of connections - Base		0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		0 0
Expense of connections - Contractor fees		Ő	Ő	Ő	0		ů 0
Expense of connections - Cost of meter(s)		0	0	0	0		0
Cost of connections UMQ fees (0.00%)		0 0	0 0	0	0		0
General fees (14.53%)		Ő	0	0	0		0
RCP - 5 years		0	0	0	0	0	0
RCP - 10 years CASEP - RCP (10 years)		0 0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-depreciable assets		Ő	0	0	0		0
System connection contrib./Deadline/Location		0	0	0	0	0	0
CASEP - Capital Property External subsidies		0 0	0	0	0	-	0
Customer contributions		0	0	0	0		0
Total investment		0	0	0	0		0
Operating cost		,560	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560
Book depreciation Public utility tax		,954 .145	57,954 18,275	57,954 17,406	57,954 16,537	57,954 15,667	57,954 14,798
Royalties		,142	2,142	2,142	2,142		2,142
Taxes		,145	15,798	16,363	16,846		17,586
Yield Revenue required		,919 ,865	65,859 162,588	62,799 159,224	59,739 155,778	56,679 152,255	53,619 148,659
Revenues	100	0	0	0	0		0
Distribution rate (¢/m <sup>3</sup> )		2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380		8,2380
Rate rebate $(\phi/m^3)$ Distribution revenue $(\phi/m^3)$		0000	0,0000 8,2380	0,0000	0,0000		0,0000
Distribution revenue (\$)	8,2	2380 .408	8,2380 166,408	8,2380 166,408	8,2380 166,408	8,2380 166,408	8,2380 166,408
Annual rate contribution		543)	(3,820)	(7,184)	(10,630)	(14,153)	(17,748)
	•		• **		·		
			16 (21,412)	17 (25,140)	18 (28,928)	(32,772)	20 (36,670)
Annual rate contribution			(21,412)	(23,140)	(20,920)	(32,112)	(30,070)
Rate contribution (3 years)	79,864		contribution (15 year			97,866	]
Rate contribution (5 years)	104,737 124,444		contribution (20 yea			41,093	
Rate contribution (10 years)	22,01	Rate	contribution (40 yea	ars)		(364,975)	]
Break-even rate (years)	5.89%						
Internal rate of return (IRR 40 years)	0,0070	I					
SALES							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · ·				
Poproportativo Data / /	Director 0-1		Doto (	, s	enior Executive	Data	, ,
Representative Date//	Director, Sale	55	Date/_	/ s	ales	Date	/

CONDITIONS OF COMPLETION

Vice-President, Sales and Market Dev.

Date

President

Date \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_

\_/\_

	MÉTRO LIMITED PAR JLATION OF REVENU BUDGET Project type Region Customer type	E REQUIRED	ension - Estimate Montérégie - Major accounts	Representative Advisor OTP	REVENUE REQUIRE SRR-VERSION 17.0	D
	Capital cost D-201 Weighted prospec	6-156	6.42% 5.28%	Municipality Length, in linea		Drummondville
		-			-	•
	15	16	17	18	19	20
Number of customers Volume, in 1000 m <sup>3</sup>	1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020
Expense of service lines - Base Expense of service lines - Contractor fees	0	0	0	0	0 0	0
Expense of service lines	0	0	0	0	0	0
Expense of connections - Base Expense of connections - Contractor fees	0	0	0	0	0	0
Expense of connections - Cost of meter(s)	0	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő
Cost of connections	0	0	0	0	0	0
UMQ fees (0.00%) General fees (14.53%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
RCP - 5 years	0	0	0	0	ů 0	ů 0
RCP - 10 years	0	0	0	0	0	0
CASEP - RCP (10 years)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-depreciable assets System connection contrib./Deadline/Location	0	0	0	0	0	0
CASEP - Capital Property	0	0	0	0	Ő	0
External subsidies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Customer contributions Total investment	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operating cost	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560	2 560
Book depreciation	57,954	57,954	57,954	57,954	57,954	57 954
Public utility tax	14,798	13,929	13,059	12,190	11,321	10 451
Royalties Taxes	2,142 17,586	2,142 17,851	2,142 18,053	2,142 18,194	2,142 18,279	2 142 18 311
Yield	53,619	50,559	47,499	44,439	41,379	38 319
Revenue required	148,659	144,995	141,268	137,480	133,635	129 738
Revenues	0	0	0	0	0	0
Distribution rate $(c/m^3)$	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380
Rate rebate $(\phi/m^3)$ Distribution revenue $(\phi/m^3)$	0,0000 8,2380	0,0000 8,2380	0,0000 8,2380	0,0000 8,2380	0,0000 8,2380	0,0000 8,2380
Distribution revenue (\$)	166,408	166,408	166,408	166,408	166,408	166 408
Annual rate of contribution	(17,748)	(21,412)	(25,140)	(28,928)	(32,772)	(36 670)
		21	22	23	24	25
		(40,617)	(83,906)	(86,677)	(88,255)	(89,872)
Annual rate contribution		(40,017)	(00,000)	(00,077)	(00,200)	(03,072)
Rate contribution (3 years) 79,864		Rate contribution			97,866	
Rate contribution (5 years) 104,737		Rate contribution Rate contribution			41,093	
Rate contribution (10 years)     124,444       Receive and rate (years)     22.04		Rate contribution	(40 years)		(364,975)	
Break-even rate (years) 22.01		•				
Internal rate of return (IRR 40 years) 5.89%		J				

SALES

Representative	Date///	Director, Sales	Date//	Senior Executive, Sales	Date//
Vice-President, Sales and Market Dev.	Date //	President	Date//		

GazMétro life in blue							
PROJECT Serres Demers	Project type Region Customer type Capital cost D- Weighted prosp	COMM	tension - Estimate Montérégie Major accounts 6.42% 5.28%	Representative Advisor OTP Municipality Length, in linea		Drummondville	
	20	) 21	22	23	24	25	
Number of customers Volume, in 1000 m <sup>3</sup>		1 2,020.0	1 2,020.0	1 2,020.0	1 2,020.0	1 2,020.0	
Expense of service lines - Base Expense of service lines - Contractor fees	(		0	0	0	0	
Expense of service lines	(	) 0	0	0	0	0	
Expense of connections - Base Expense of connections - Contractor fees	(		0	0	0	0	
Expense of connections - Contractor rees			0	0	0	0	
Cost of connections	(	) 0	0	0	0	0	
UMQ fees (0.00%) General fees (14.53%)	(		0	0	0	0	
RCP - 5 years	(		0	0	0	0	
RCP - 10 years	(		0	0	0	0	
CASEP - RCP (10 years) Non-depreciable assets	(		0	0	0	0	
System connection contrib./Deadline/Location	(	0	0	0	0	0	
CASEP - Capital Property External subsidies	(		0	0	0	0	
Customer contributions			0	0	0	0	
Total investment	(	0	0	0	0	0	
Operating cost	2,560		2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560	
Book depreciation Public utility tax	57,954 10,457		28,178 9,159	27,298 8,750	27,298 8,341	27,298 7,931	
Royalties	2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142	
Taxes Yield	18,31		7,476	7,460	7,733	7,967	
Revenue required	38,319 129,738		32,985 82,501	31,521 79,731	30,079 78,153	28,638 76,536	
Revenues	(		0	0	0	0	
Distribution rate $(\phi/m^3)$	8,2380		8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	
Rate rebate $(\phi/m^3)$ Distribution revenue $(\phi/m^3)$	0,0000 8,2380		0,0000 8,2380	0,0000 8,2380	0,0000 8,2380	0,0000 8,2380	
Distribution revenue (\$)	166,408		166,408	166,408	166,408	166,408	
Annual rate contribution	(36,670	) (40,617)	(83,906)	(86,677)	(88,255)	(89,872)	
		26	27	28	29	30	
Annual rate contribution		(91.525)	(93,213)	(94,934)	(96,684)	(98,464)	
Rate contribution (5 years) 10	,864 4,737 4,444	Rate contribution Rate contribution Rate contribution	(20 years)		97,866 41,093 (364,975)		
Break-even rate (years) 22							
Internal rate of return (IRR 40 years) 5.8	39%						
SALES							
<u> </u>							

Representative	Date//	Director, Sales	Date//	Senior Executive, Sales	Date//
Vice-President, Sales and Market Dev.	Date //	President	Date//		

	GAZ MÉTRO LIMITED PARTNERSHIP CALCULATION OF REVENUE REQUIRED BUDGET S					
PROJECT Serres Demers	Project type Region Customer type Capital cost D-201 Weighted prospec	COMM	ension - Estimate Montérégie - Major accounts 6.42% 5.28%	Representative Advisor OTP Municipality Length, in linear		Drummondville
	25	26	27	28	29	30
Number of customers		1	1	1	1	1
Volume, in 1000 m <sup>3</sup> Expense of service lines - Base	0	2,020	2,020	2,020	2,020	2,020
Expense of service lines - Contractor fees	0	0	0	0	Ō	Ő
Expense of service lines Expense of connections - Base	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0
Expense of connections - Contractor fees	0	0	0	0	0	0
Expense of connections - Cost of meter(s)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost of connections UMQ fees (0.00%)	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0
General fees (14.53%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
RCP - 5 years	0	0	0	0	0	0
RCP - 10 years CASEP - RCP (10 years)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-depreciable assets	0	0	0	0	0	0
System connection contrib./Deadline/Location	0	0	0	0	0	0
CASEP - Capital Property External subsidies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Customer contributions	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total investment	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operating cost	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560
Book depreciation Public utility tax	27,298 7,931	27,298 7,522	27,298 7,112	27,298 6,703	27,298 6,293	27,298 5,884
Royalties	2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142
Taxes	7,967	8,164	8,327	8,457	8,557	8,628
Yield Revenue required	28,638 76,536	27,197 74,883	25,756 73,194	24,314 71,474	22,873 69,723	21,432 67,944
Revenues	0	0	0	0	0	0
Distribution rate (¢/m <sup>3</sup> )	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380
Rate rebate $(\phi/m^3)$ Distribution revenue $(\phi/m^3)$	0,0000 8,2380	0,0000 8,2380	0,0000 8,2380	0,0000 8,2380	0,0000 8,2380	0,0000 8,2380
Distribution revenue (\$)	166,408	8,2380 166,408	166.408	166.408	166.408	166.408
Annual rate contribution	(89,872)	(91,525)	(93,213)	(94,934)	(96,684)	(98,464)
					÷ •	
Annual rate contribution		31 (100,270)	32 (102,102 )	33 (103,958)	34	35
Annual rate contribution		(100,270)	(102,102)	(103,958)	(105,836)	(107,735)
Rate contribution (3 years) 79,864		Rate contribution (			97,866	
Rate contribution (5 years)104,737Rate contribution (10 years)124,444		Rate contribution ( Rate contribution (			41,093 (364,975)	
Break-even rate (years) 22.01		יזמום בטוונווטעווטוו (י	to yearsy		(304,973)	
Internal rate of return (IRR 40 years) 5.89%						
		l				
SALES						

Representative	Date//	Director, Sales	Date//	Senior Executive, Sales	Date//
Vice-President, Sales and Market Dev.	Date //	President	Date//		

GazMétro	GAZ MÉTRO LIMITED PARTNERSHIP CALCULATION OF REVENUE REQUIRED BUDGET					REVENUE REQUIRED SRR-VERSION 17.0	
PROJECT Serres Demers	Project type Region Customer type Capital cost D-201 Weighted prospec	COMM	nsion - Estimate Montérégie - Major accounts 6.42% 5.28%	Representative Advisor OTP Municipality Length, in linea		Drummondville	
	30	31	32	33	34	35	
Number of customers Volume, in 1000 m <sup>3</sup>		1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020	
Expense of service lines - Base Expense of service lines - Contractor fees Expense of service lines	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	
Expense of connections - Base Expense of connections - Contractor fees Expense of connections - Cost of meter(s)	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	00000	0000	
Cost of connections UMQ fees (0.00%) General fees (14.53%)	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	
RCP - 5 years RCP - 10 years CASEP - RCP (10 years)	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	
Non-depreciable assets System connection contrib./Deadline/Location CASEP - Capital Property	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	
External subsidies Customer contributions Total investment	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	
Operating cost Book depreciation Public utility tax Royalties Taxes Yield Revenue required	2,560 27,298 5,884 2,142 8,628 21,432 67,944	2,560 27,298 5,474 2,142 8,673 19,990 66,137	2,560 27,298 5,065 2,142 8,692 18,549 64,305	2,560 27,298 4,655 2,142 8,687 17,108 62,450	2,560 27,298 4,246 2,142 8,660 15,666 60,572	2,560 27,298 3,836 2,142 8,612 14,225 58,673	
Revenues Distribution rate $(e/m^3)$ Rate rebate $(e/m^3)$ Distribution revenue $(e/m^3)$ Distribution revenue $(\$)$	0 8,2380 0,0000 8,2380 166,408	0 8,2380 0,0000 8,2380 166,408	0 8,2380 0,0000 8,2380 166,408	0 8,2380 0,0000 8,2380 166,408	0 8,2380 0,0000 8,2380 166,408	0 8,2380 0,0000 8,2380 166,408	
Annual rate contribution	(98,464)	(100,270)	(102,102)	(103,958)	(105,836)	(107,735)	
		36	37	38	39	40	
Annual rate contribution		(109,653)	(111,591)	(113,545)	(115,516)	(117,503)	
Rate contribution (5 years)10Rate contribution (10 years)12	,864 4,737 4,444	Rate contribution ( Rate contribution ( Rate contribution (	20 years)		97,866 41,093 (364,975)		
	.01 39%						

SALES

Representative	Date//	Director, Sales	Date//	Senior Executive, Sales	 Date//
Vice-President, Sales and Market Dev.	Date //	President	Date//		

<b>F</b>		-					
GAZ MÉTRO LIMITED PARTNERSHIP CALCULATION OF REVENUE REQUIRED					REVENUE REQUIRED		
9 GaZ/VIELTO BUDGET					SRR-VERSION 17.0		
<u> </u>							
PROJECT Serres Demers		Project type	Est	ension - Estimate	Poprosontativo		
FROJECT Series Demers		Project type Region	EXI	Montérégie	Representative Advisor		
		Customer type	COMM.	- Major accounts	OTP		
		Conital D CC	0.450	0.400/	Municipality		Drummondville
		Capital cost D-201 Weighted prospect		6.42% 5.28%	Length, in linea	r meters	
		Weighted prospec		0.2070			
		35	36	37	38	39	40
Number of customers Volume, in 1000 m <sup>3</sup>		1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020	1 2,020
Expense of service lines - Base		0	0	0	0	0	0
Expense of service lines - Contractor fees Expense of service lines		<u>0</u> 0	0	0	0	0	0 0
Expense of connections - Base		0	0	0	0	0	0
Expense of connections - Contractor fees		0	0	0	0	0	0
Expense of connections - Cost of meter(s) Cost of connections	ŀ	<u>0</u> 0	0	0	0	0	0
UMQ fees (0.00%)		0	0	0	0	0	0
General fees (14.53%) RCP - 5 years		0	0	0	0	0	0
RCP - 10 years		0	0	0	0	0	0
CASEP - RCP (10 years) Non-depreciable assets		0	0	0	0	0	0
System connection contrib./Deadline/Location		0	0	0	0	0	0
CASEP - Capital Property		0	0	0	0	0	0
External subsidies Customer contributions		0 0	0	0	0	0	0
Total investment		0	0	0	0	0	0
Operating cost		2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560	2,560
Book depreciation Public utility tax		27,298 5,884	27,298 3,427	27,298 3,018	27,298 2,608	27,298 2,199	27,298 1,789
Royalties		2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142	2,142
Taxes Yield		8,628 21,432	8,544 12,784	8,457 11,342	8,353 9,901	8,233 8,460	8,097 7,018
Revenue required		58,673	56,754	54,817	52,862	50,891	48,905
Revenues		0	0	0	0	0	0
Distribution rate $(\phi/m^3)$ Rate rebate $(\phi/m^3)$		8,2380 0,0000	8,2380 0,0000	8,2380 0,0000	8,2380 0,0000	8,2380 0,0000	8,2380 0,0000
Distribution revenue (¢/m <sup>3</sup> )		8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380	8,2380
Distribution revenue (\$)		<u>166,408</u> (107,735)	166,408 (109,653)	<u>166,408</u> (111,591)	<u>166,408</u> (113,545)	166,408 (115,516)	166,408 (117,503)
Annual rate contribution		(,	(100,000)	(,001)	(1.0,070)	(1.0,0.0)	(11,000)
Annual rate contribution				0	0	0	0
Rate contribution (3 years)	79,864		Rate contribution	(15 years)		97,866	
Rate contribution (5 years)	104,737		Rate contribution	(20 years)		41,093	
Rate contribution (10 years)	124,444		Rate contribution	(40 years)		(364,975)	
Break-even rate (years) Internal rate of return (IRR 40 years)	22.01 5.89%						
Internal rate of return (INN 40 years)	5.6978						
SALES							i
				Ĩ			
						· · · · ·	
Representative Da	ite//	Director, Sale	es Date	//	Senior Executive	, Date /	
		, 500			Sales	/	
				l			
	Date						
Vice-President, Sales and Market Dev.	//	President	Date _	//			
		1					