| | Ca | Residential market and | Schedule 1 d business market – New c ctual data per component o | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Components | Year 0 | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| | | Number of customers who have cumulated 1 year of actual consumption as at June 30, or ¹ | Number of customers who have cumulated 2 years of actual consumption as at June 30, or 1 | Same for the | Same for the | Same for the |
| Number of customers | n/a | Number of customers who are part of projects for which the first meter was comissioned over a year prior to June 30¹ | Number of customers who are part of projects for which the first meter was comissioned over two years prior to June 30¹ | above-referenced year | above-referenced year | above-referenced year |
| Volumes (m³) | n/a | Actual customer volumes for the above-referenced year | Actual customer volumes or customer volumes to account for ² for the above-referenced year | ldem | ldem | Idem |
| Revenue D (\$) | n/a | Revenue (actual or adjusted according to the original rate schedule) ³ with respect to the volumes for the above-referenced year | Idem | Idem | Idem | Idem |
| Line costs | Actual costs prior to the beginning of Year 1 of the extension projects | Actual costs for the above-referenced year of the extension projects | Idem | Idem | Idem | Idem |
| Connection costs Customer contributions CASEP-Cap. assets Subsidies (RCP) | Actual costs prior to the beginning of Year 1 | Actual costs for the above-referenced year | ldem | ldem | ldem | ldem |

^{1.} Customers using temporary heating cease consumption during year 1 or year 2.

^{2.} The volume to account for, if applicable, is the actual volume for the 12-month period preceding June 30 of the year in which the a posteriori follow-up is submitted to the Régie.

^{3.} Revenue adjusted according to the original rate schedule: Actual revenue adjusted with the variance between the invoiced rate schedule and the original rate schedule used for the *a priori* profitability, based on rates approved by the Régie.

| | Ca | Schedule 2 Business market and residential market – Loa Iculation methodology for actual data per componen | | enue | | |
|---|--|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Components | Year 0 | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| Number of customers | | Valuated files: Number of customers with a signed load addition in the <i>a priori</i> development plan and for whom the <i>a posteriori</i> valuation is made by Gaz Métro. ¹ Non-valuated files: Number of customers with a signed load addition in the <i>a priori</i> development plan and for whom one or several load addition sales were concluded after the year of the <i>a priori</i> development plan. | ldem | ldem | ldem | ldem |
| Volumes (m³) | | Valuated files: Difference between the actual total volume of the above-referenced year ² and the actual volume for the 12-month period preceding the conclusion of the sale. Non-valuated files: Application of the actual volume realization rate to files valuated on the <i>a priori</i> forecast volume for the above-referenced year. | ldem | ldem | ldem | ldem |
| Revenue D (\$) | | Valuated files: Revenue (actual or adjusted according to the original rate schedule³) associated with actual volumes of load additions for the above-referenced year. Revenue is calculated based on the marginal distribution rate per customer.³ Non-valuated files: Application of the actual revenue realization rate to files valuated (actual or adjusted according to the original rate schedule³) on the a priori forecast revenue for the above-referenced year. | ldem | ldem | ldem | ldem |
| Connection costs Customer contributions Subsidies (RCP) | Valuated files and non valuated files: Actual costs prior to the beginning of Year 1 | Valuated files and non valuated files: Actual costs for the above-referenced year | Idem | Idem | Idem | ldem |

- 1. A posteriori valuated files are those for which no other sale of additional load has been concluded after the year of the a priori development plan.
- 2. The calculation of actual consumption volume for year 1 begins six months after the conclusion of the additional load sale.
- 3. Revenue adjusted according to the original rate schedule: Actual revenue adjusted with the variance between the invoiced rate schedule and the original rate schedule used for the *a priori* profitability, based on rates approved by the Régie.

Schedule 3
COMPARISON OF THE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN - NEW CUSTOMERS AND LOAD ADDITIONS 2012 A PRIORI VS 2012 A POSTERIORI

| Description | | A priori¹ | | | A posteriori ² | | Variance | a priori vs a p | osteriori | | Data applied a posteriori | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | New (1) | Additions (2) | Total (3) | New (4) | Additions (5) | Total (6) | New (7) | Additions (8) | Total (9) | Actual (10) | Actual % (11) | Forecast (12) | Forecast % (13) | | |
| Number of customers Year 1 | 3,308 | 233 | 3,541 | 2,617 | 232 | 2,849 | (691) | (1) | (692) | 2,782 | 98% | 67 | 2% | | |
| Number of customers Year 2 (cumulative) | 4,805 | 233 | 5,038 | 3,256 | 232 | 3,488 | (1,549) | (1) | (1,550) | 3,400 | 97% | 88 | 3% | | |
| Number of customers Year 3 (cumulative) | 5,843 | 233 | 6,076 | 3,684 | 232 | 3,916 | (2,159) | (1) | (2,160) | 3,585 | 92% | 331 | 8% | | |
| Number of customers Year 4 (cumulative) | 5,978 | 233 | 6,211 | 4,621 | 232 | 4,853 | (1,357) | (1) | (1,358) | 3,585 | 74% | 1,268 | 26% | | |
| Number of customers Year 5 (cumulative) | 5,978 | 233 | 6,211 | 4,934 | 232 | 5,166 | (1,044) | (1) | (1,045) | 3,585 | 69% | 1,581 | 31% | | |
| Volumes (10³m³) Year 1 | 10,999 10,880 | 202 202 | 11,200 11,082 | 9,697 8,706 | 187 198 | 9,884 8,905 | (1,301) | (15) | (1,316) | 9,521 8,446 | 96% 95% | 364 458 | 4% 5% | | |
| Volumes (103m3) Year 2 (cumulative) | | | | | | | (2,174) | (4) | (2,177) | -, - | | 458 676 | | | |
| Volumes (103m3) Year 3 (cumulative) | 12,778 | 202 202 | 12,980 13,871 | 8,864 | 200 200 | 9,064 9,852 | (3,914) | (2) | (3,916) | 8,388 8,075 | 93% 82% | | 7% 18% | | |
| Volumes (10³m³) Year 4 (cumulative) Volumes (10³m³) Year 5 (cumulative) | 13,669 13,858 | 202 | 14,060 | 9,652 10,113 | 200 | 10,313 | (4,018) (3,745) | (2) (2) | (4,020) (3,747) | 8,075 8,075 | 78% | 1,777 2,238 | 22% | | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 0 | 11,457 | 14 | 11,471 | 6,904 | 92 | 6,996 | (4,553) | 78 | (4,475) | 6,955 | 99% | 41 | 1% | | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 1 | 1,628 | 0 | 1,628 | 4,774 | 13 | 4,787 | 3,146 | 13 | 3,159 | 4,772 | 100% | 15 | 0% | | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 960 | 0 | 960 | 1,150 | 2 | 1,152 | 190 | 2 | 192 | 961 | 83% | 191 | 17% | | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 3 | 116 | 0 | 116 | 1,250 | 0 | 1,250 | 1,134 | 0 | 1,134 | 243 | 19% | 1,007 | 81% | | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 4 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 340 | 0 | 340 | 324 | 0 | 324 | 48 | 14% | 292 | 86% | | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0% | | |
| Total capital assets | 14,175 | 14 | 14,190 | 14,418 | 107 | 14,525 | 243 | 93 | 335 | 12,979 | 89% | 1,546 | 11% | | |
| (including general expenses) | | | | | | | /a ==== | _ | (0.000) | | | | | | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 1 | 6,130 | 139 | 6,270 | 3,337 | 144 | 3,481 | (2,793) | 5 | (2,789) | 3,359 | 96% | 122 | 4% | | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 2,229 | 0 | 2,229 | 1,860 | 1 | 1,861 | (369) | 1 | (368) | 1,675 | 90% | 186 | 10% | | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 3 | 1,618 | 0 | 1,618 | 1,232 | 0 | 1,232 | (386) | 0 | (386) | 681 | 55% | 551 | 45% | | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 4 | 377 | 0 | 377 | 1,563 | 0 | 1,563 | 1,186 | 0 | 1,186 | 71 | 5% | 1,492 | 95% | | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 528 | 0 | 528 | 528 | 0 | 528 | 0 | 100% | 528 | 0% | | |
| Total RCP subsidy | 10,353 | 139 | 10,493 | 8,520 | 145 | 8,665 | (1,833) | 6 | (1,828) | 5,786 | 67% | 2,879 | 33% | | |
| Customer contributions³ (thousands of \$) Year 0 | (192) | (8) | (200) | (163) | (7) | (170) | 29 | 1 | 30 | (170) | 100% | 0 | 0% | | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 1 | (936) | 0 | (936) | (681) | 0 | (681) | 255 | 0 | 255 | (662) | 97% | (19) | 3% | | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 2 | (449) | 0 | (449) | (226) | 2 | (224) | 223 | 2 | 225 | (218) | 97% | (6) | 3% | | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 3 | (311) | 0 | (311) | (168) | 0 | (168) | 143 | 0 | 143 | (95) | 57% | (73) | 43% | | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 4 | (39) | 0 | (39) | (287) | 0 | (287) | (248) | 0 | (248) | (7) | 2% | (280) | 98% | | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 5 Total customer contributions | (1, 926) | 0 (8) | 0 (1,934) | (96) (1,621) | 0 (5) | (96) (1,626) | (96) 305 | 0 3 | (96) 308 | 0 (1,152) | 100% 71% | (96) (474) | 0% 29% | | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 0 | 11,265 | 6 | 11.272 | 6,741 | 85 | 6.826 | (4,524) | 79 | (4,446) | 6.785 | 99% | 41 | 1% | | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 1 | 6,821 | 139 | 6,961 | 7,430 | 157 | 7,587 | 609 | 18 | 626 | 7,469 | 98% | 118 | 2% | | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 2,740 | 0 | 2,740 | 2,784 | 5 | 2,789 | 44 | 5 | 49 | 2,418 | 87% | 371 | 13% | | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 3 | 1,423 | 0 | 1,423 | 2,314 | 0 | 2,314 | 891 | 0 | 891 | 829 | 36% | 1.485 | 64% | | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 4 | 353 | 0 | 353 | 1,616 | 0 | 1,616 | 1,263 | 0 | 1,263 | 112 | 7% | 1,504 | 93% | | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 432 | 0 | 432 | 432 | 0 | 432 | 0 | 100% | 432 | 0% | | |
| Total investments | 22,602 | 145 | 22,748 | 21,317 | 247 | 21,564 | (1,285) | 102 | (1,184) | 17,613 | 82% | 3,951 | 18% | | |
| ORIGINAL RATE SCHEDULE ⁴ (2012) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Impact on rates | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| For the first year (thousands of \$) | 139 | (25) | 114 | (487) | (1) | (488) | (626) | 24 | (602) | | | | | | |
| For the first five years (thousands of \$) | (509) | (106) | (615) | (56) | (10) | (66) | 453 | 96 | 549 | | | | | | |
| Internal rate of return | 11.83% | 35.04% | 11.95% | 9.86% | 12.62% | 9.89% | -1.97% | -22.42% | -2.06% | | | | | | |
| Break-even rate (years) | 3.70 | 1.00 | 3.47 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | (2.70) | 0.00 | (2.47) | | | | | | |
| ACTUAL RATES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Impact on rates | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| For the first year (thousands of \$) For the first five years (thousands of \$) | 139 (509) | (25) (106) | 114 (615) | (517) (1,017) | (1) (29) | (518) (1,046) | (656) (508) | 24 77 | (632) (431) | | | | | | |
| | , , | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Internal rate of return | 11.83% | 35.04% | 11.95% | 11.17% | 14.88% | 11.22% | -0.66% | -20.16% | -0.73% | | | | | | |
| Break-even rate (years) 1. The term a priori refers to the results of the 2012 deve | 3.70 | 1.00 | 3.47 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | (2.70) | 0.00 | (2.47) | | | | | | |

^{1.} The term a priori refers to the results of the 2012 development plan presented in the 2012 Annual Report (R-3831-2012, Gaz Métro-13, Document 2).

^{2.} The term a posteriori refers to the results of the analysis performed on the basis of actual volumes, revenue, investments, subsidies and contributions available to date, in addition to a forecast for the following years. This forecast is based on the actual data between July 1st, 2014 and June 30, 2015 or, on the a priori data for customers who have not yet cumulated 12 months of consumption. The required revenue model is the same as the one applied a priori, with the original parameters.

^{3.} Customer contributions aggregate the \$300 connection contribution and other types of contributions (lump-sum, location and connection timeframe).

^{4.} Results according to the original rate schedule are calculated using actual revenue adjusted with the variation between the invoiced rate schedule and the original rate schedule used for the a priori profitability, based on rates approved by the Régie.

Schedule 4 COMPARISON OF THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN - RESIDENTIAL MARKET - NEW CUSTOMERS 2012 A PRIORI VS 2012 A POSTERIORI

| Description A profession A position | т | Data applie | Data applied a posteriori | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------|------|-------|------------|
| Committee Comm | Deceriation | A priori ¹ | A posteriori ² | Variance a priori vs | Variance a priori | Actual | | | Forecast % |
| Number of customers Year 1 3.305 2.617 (091) 2.21% 2.550 97% 67 | Description | (1) | (2) | | | | | | (8) |
| Number of customers Year 2 (cumulative) Mumber of customers Year 3 (cumulative) Sept. 3.326 Sept. 3.326 Number of customers Year 3 (cumulative) Sept. 3.326 Number of customers Year 5 (cumulative) Sept. 3.327 Number of customers Year 5 (cumulative) Sept. 3.327 Number of customers Year 5 (cumulative) Sept. 3.328 Number of customers Year 5 (cumulative) Sept. 3.328 Number of customers Year 5 (cumulative) Sept. 3.328 Sept. 3.32 | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of austinants Year 3 (cumulative) 5,473 | | | | | | | | | 3% |
| Number of costomers Year 4 (cumulative) 5.978 4.021 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.067 1.0501 1.0590 9.0502 1.0501 1.0590 9.0502 1.0501 1.05000 1.05000 1.05000 1.05000 1.05000 1.05000 1.05000 1.05000 1.05000 1.050000 1.050000 1.050000000000 | | | | | | | | | 3% |
| Number of customers Year 5 (cumulative) 5.978 4.934 (1,044) 1.799 5.987 (1,331) 1.214 5.934 986- 986 986- 986- 986- 986- 986- 986 | | | | | | | | | 9% |
| Volumes (10****) Year 1 (cumulative) 10,889 8,987 (1,301) -12% 9,331 89% 488 Volumes (10****) Year 3 (cumulative) 10,880 8,768 (2,174) -20% 8,248 89% 488 95% 488 95% 488 95% 488 95% 488 95% 488 95% 488 95% 488 95% 488 95% 488 95% 948 95% | Number of customers Year 4 (cumulative) | 5,978 | 4,621 | (1,357) | -23% | 3,353 | 73% | 1,268 | 27% |
| Volumes (10**) Year 2 (cumulative) 10.880 8.766 (2.174) 1.207 8.844 (2.174) 1.318 8.185 2.2% 7.777 8.845 7.777 8.7777 8.777 8.777 8.777 8.777 8.777 8.777 8.777 8. | Number of customers Year 5 (cumulative) | 5,978 | 4,934 | (1,044) | -17% | 3,353 | 68% | 1,581 | 32% |
| Volumes (10**) Year 2 (cumulative) 10.880 8.766 (2.174) 1.207 8.844 (2.174) 1.318 8.185 2.2% 7.777 8.845 7.777 8.7777 8.777 8.777 8.777 8.777 8.777 8.777 8.777 8. | ` ' | · | | | | • | | | |
| Volumes (10 m) Year 3 (cumulative) 12,778 8,864 (3,914) -31% 8,188 92% 676 | | | | | | | | | 4% |
| Valumes (10°m) Year 5 (cumulative) 13,669 9,852 (4,018) -29% 7,875 73% 22% 1.777 Valumes (10°m) Year 5 (cumulative) 13,868 10,113 (3,745) -27% 7,875 73% 2.238 1.177 Valumes (10°m) Year 5 (cumulative) 13,868 10,113 (3,745) -27% 7,875 73% 2.238 1.177 Valumes (10°m) Year 1 1,628 4,774 3,146 193% 4,759 100% 15 1.174 1. | √olumes (10³m³) Year 2 (cumulative) | 10,880 | 8,706 | (2,174) | | 8,248 | | | 5% |
| Volume (109m) Year 5 (canulative) 13,858 10,113 (2,746) -27% 7,875 78% 2,238 | Volumes (103m³) Year 3 (cumulative) | 12,778 | 8,864 | (3,914) | -31% | 8,188 | 92% | 676 | 8% |
| Volume (109m) Year 5 (canulative) 13,858 10,113 (2,746) -27% 7,875 78% 2,238 | Volumes (103m3) Year 4 (cumulative) | 13,669 | 9.652 | (4.018) | -29% | 7.875 | 82% | 1,777 | 18% |
| Capital assets (housands of \$) Year 1 | | | | | -27% | | | | 22% |
| Capital assets (housands of 5) Year 1 | | | | , | | | | | |
| Capital assets (housands of 5) Year 2 960 1,150 190 20% 959 83% 191 191 192 1,154 191 192 1,154 191 191 192 1,154 191 191 192 1,154 191 191 192 1,154 191 | | | | | | | | | 1% |
| Capital assets (housands of S) Year 3 | Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 1 | 1,628 | 4,774 | 3,146 | 193% | 4,759 | 100% | 15 | 0% |
| Capital assets (housands of 5) Year 3 | Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 960 | 1.150 | 190 | 20% | 959 | 83% | 191 | 17% |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 4 Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 5 O | | 116 | 1.250 | 1 134 | 981% | 243 | 19% | 1 007 | 81% |
| Capital assets (thousands of S) Year 5 | | | | | | | | | 86% |
| Total capital assets (including general expenses) RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 1 | | | | | | | | | 0% |
| (Including general expenses) RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 1 RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 2 2.223 1.860 3.337 (2.733) 4.6% 1.7% 1.674 90% 186 554 RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 3 1.618 1.232 (386) -2.4% 881 555 862 558 1.0% 0 0 0% 528 528 100% 0 0 0% 528 704a RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 4 707 1.583 1.186 3.15% 71 9% 1.402 RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 5 0 0 528 528 100% 0 0 0% 528 704a RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 6 Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 1 (192) Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 1 (283) (287) (280) (281) (280) (281) (281) (280) (281) (282) (283) (287) (248) (294) (294) (295) (294) (294) (294) (295) (294) (294) (295) (294) (294) (295) (295) (296) (396) (487) (487) (488) (497) (498) (49 | | - | T | - | | | | | |
| RCP subsidy (housands of \$) Year 1 | | 14,175 | 14,418 | 243 | 2% | 12,872 | 89% | 1,546 | 11% |
| RCP subsidy (housands of \$) Year 2 | including general expenses) | | | | | | | | |
| RCP subsidy (housands of \$) Year 2 | RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 1 | 6 130 | 3 337 | (2 793) | -46% | 3 215 | 96% | 122 | 4% |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 3 | | | | | | | | | 10% |
| RCP subsidy (housands of 5) Year 4 377 1,563 1,188 315% 71 5% 5,28 Total RCP subsidy (housands of 5) Year 5 0 528 528 100% 5,641 66% 2,879 Customer contributions (housands of 5) Year 1 (938) (681) 255 -27% (662) 97% (19) (19) (20) (19) (20) (20) (20) 97% (662) 97% (19) (20) (20) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66) 97% (66 | | | | | | | | | 45% |
| RCP subsidy (housands of \$) Year 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total RCP subsidy | | | | | | | | | 95% |
| Customer contributions (thousands of §) Year 0 Customer contributions (thousands of §) Year 1 (936) Customer contributions (thousands of §) Year 1 (936) Customer contributions (thousands of §) Year 2 (449) (226) Customer contributions (thousands of §) Year 3 (311) (168) 143 46% (95) 57% (73) Customer contributions (thousands of §) Year 4 (39) Customer contributions (thousands of §) Year 4 (39) Customer contributions (thousands of §) Year 5 0 (96) (96) (96) 100% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | 100% |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 1 (938) (881) 255 -27% (862) 97% (19) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (20) (20) 97% (20) (20) 97% (20) (20) 97% (20) (20) 97% (20) (20) 97% (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) | Fotal RCP subsidy | 10,353 | 8,520 | (1,833) | -18% | 5,641 | 66% | 2,879 | 34% |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 1 (938) (881) 255 -27% (862) 97% (19) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (6) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (73) (20) 97% (20) (20) 97% (20) (20) 97% (20) (20) 97% (20) (20) 97% (20) (20) 97% (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) | | | | | | | | | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 2 (449) (226) 223 -50% (220) 97% (6) Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 3 (311) (168) 143 -46% (95) 57% (73) Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 4 (39) (287) (248) 630% (7) 2% (280) Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 5 0 (96) (96) (100% 0 0% (96) Total customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 7 (1,926) (1,621) 305 -16% (1,147) 77% (474) (1,47 | Customer contributions ³ (thousands of \$) Year 0 | (192) | (163) | 29 | -15% | (163) | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 2 (449) (226) 223 -50% (220) 97% (6) Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 3 (311) (168) 143 -46% (95) 57% (73) Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 4 (39) (287) (248) 630% (7) 2% (280) Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 5 0 (96) (96) (100% 0 0% (96) Total customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 7 (1,926) (1,621) 305 -16% (1,147) 77% (474) (1,47 | Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 1 | (936) | (681) | 255 | -27% | (662) | 97% | (19) | 3% |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 3 (311) (168) 143 4-6% (95) (77) 2-% (280) (280) (280) (287) (248) 630% (77) 2-% (280) (280) (280) (287) (248) 630% (77) 2-% (280) (280 | | | | | -50% | | 97% | | 3% |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 4 (39) (287) (248) (309) (7) 2% (280) (| | | | | | | | | 43% |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 5 | | | | | | | | | 98% |
| Total customer contributions | | | | | | | | | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 0 | | | | | | | | | 100% |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 1 | Total customer contributions | (1,926) | (1,621) | 305 | -16% | (1,147) | 71% | (474) | 29% |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 2 | | | | | | | | | 1% |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 3 | Fotal investments (thousands of \$) Year 1 | 6,821 | 7,430 | 609 | 9% | 7,312 | 98% | 118 | 2% |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 4 353 1.616 1.263 357% 112 7% 1.504 | Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 2,740 | 2,784 | 44 | 2% | 2,413 | 87% | 371 | 13% |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 4 353 1.616 1.263 357% 112 7% 1.504 | Fotal investments (thousands of \$) Year 3 | 1.423 | 2.314 | 891 | 63% | 829 | 36% | 1.485 | 64% |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 5 | | | | | | | | | 93% |
| Total investments 22,602 21,317 (1,285) -6% 17,366 81% 3,951 ORIGINAL RATE SCHEDULE ⁴ (2012) Impact on rates For the first year (thousands of \$) (509) (56) 453 Internal rate of return 11.83% 9.86% -1.97% Break-even rate (years) 3.70 1.00 (2.70) Impact on rates | | | | | | | | | 100% |
| ORIGINAL RATE SCHEDULE ⁴ (2012) Impact on rates For the first year (thousands of \$) 139 (487) (568) 453 | | - | | | | | | | 19% |
| Impact on rates | lotal investments | 22,602 | 21,317 | (1,285) | -6% | 17,366 | 81% | 3,951 | 19% |
| Impact on rates | ORIGINAL RATE SCHEDULE ⁴ (2012) | | | | | | | | |
| For the first year (thousands of \$) | | | | | | | | | |
| For the first five years (thousands of \$) (509) (556) 453 Internal rate of return 11.83% 9.86% -1.97% Break-even rate (years) 3.70 1.00 (2.70) ACTUAL RATES Impact on rates | | 120 | (407) | (636) | | | | | |
| Internal rate of return | | | | | | | | | |
| Break-even rate (years) 3.70 1.00 (2.70) | For the first live years (thousands or \$) | (509) | (56) | 455 | | | | | |
| Break-even rate (years) 3.70 1.00 (2.70) | latera el rete ef estare | 44.0001 | | 4 0-01 | | | | | |
| ACTUAL RATES Impact on rates | nternal rate of return | 11.83% | 9.86% | -1.97% | | | | | |
| ACTUAL RATES Impact on rates Impact on rates | | | | (0.00) | | | | | |
| Impact on rates | 3reak-even rate (years) | 3.70 | 1.00 | (2.70) | | | | | |
| Impact on rates | ACTUAL DATES | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| | For the first year (thousands of \$) | 139 | (517) | (656) | | | | | |
| For the first five years (thousands of \$) (509) (1,017) (508) | For the first five years (thousands of \$) | (509) | (1,017) | (508) | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Internal rate of return 11.83% 11.17% -0.66% | internal rate of return | 11.83% | 11.17% | -0.66% | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Break-even rate (years) 3.70 1.00 (2.70) | Break-even rate (vears) | 3.70 | 1.00 | (2.70) | | | | | |

^{1.} The term a priori refers to the results of the 2012 development plan presented in the 2012 Annual Report (R-3831-2012, Gaz Métro-13, Document 2).

2. The term a posteriori refers to the results of the analysis performed on the basis of actual volumes, revenue, investments, subsidies and contributions available to date, in addition to a forecast for the following years. This forecast is based on the actual data between July 1st, 2014 and June 30, 2015 or, on the a priori data for customers who have not yet cumulated 12 months of consumption. The required revenue is the same as the one applied a priori, with the original parameters.

3. Customer contributions aggregate the \$300 connection contribution and other types of contributions (furp-sum, location and connection timeframe).

4. Results according to the original rate schedule are calculated using actual revenue glusted with variation between the invoiced rate schedule and the original rate schedule are calculated using actual revenue adjusted with variation between the invoiced rate schedule and the original rate schedule are apriori profitability, based on rates approved by the Régie.

Schedule 5 COMPARISON OF THE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN - LOAD ADDITIONS 2012 A PRIORI VS 2012 A POSTERIORI

| | A posteriori valuated file ¹ | | | | | A po | steriori non valuate | | | All files ³ | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Description | A priori (1) | A posteriori (2) | Variance a priori vs a posteriori (3) | vs a posteriori (4) | A priori (5) | A posteriori (6) | Variance a priori vs a posteriori (7) | vs a posteriori (8) | Proportion (9) | A priori (10) | A posteriori (11) | Variance a priori vs a posteriori (12) | Variance a priori vs a posteriori (13) | |
| Number of customers Year 1 | 217 | 216 | (1) | 0% | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0% | 7% | 233 | 232 | (1) | 0% | |
| Number of customers Year 2 (cumulative) | 217 | 216 | (1) | 0% | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0% | 7% | 233 | 232 | (1) | 0% | |
| Number of customers Year 3 (cumulative) | 217 | 216 | (1) | 0% | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0% | 7% | 233 | 232 | (1) | 0% | |
| Number of customers Year 4 (cumulative) | 217 | 216 | (1) | 0% | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0% | 7% | 233 | 232 232 | (1) | 0% | |
| Number of customers Year 5 (cumulative) | 217 | 216 | (1) | 0% | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0% | 7% | 233 | 232 | (1) | 0% | |
| Volumes (10³m³) Year 1 | 188 | 175 | (14) | -7% | 14 | 13 | (1) | -7% | 7% | 202 | 187 | (15) | -7% | |
| Volumes (10³m³) Year 2 (cumulative) | 188 | 185 | (3) | -2% | 14 | 13 | (0) | -2% | 7% | 202 | 198 | (4) | -2% | |
| Volumes (10³m³) Year 3 (cumulative) | 188 | 186 | (2) | -1% | 14 | 13 | (0) | -1% | 7% | 202 | 200 | (2) | -1% | |
| Volumes (103m3) Year 4 (cumulative) | 188 | 186 | (2) | -1% | 14 14 | 13 | (0) | -1% | 7% | 202 | 200 | (2) | -1% | |
| Volumes (10³m³) Year 5 (cumulative) | 188 | 186 | (2) | -1% | 14 | 13 | (0) | -1% | 7% | 202 | 200 | (2) | -1% | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 0 | 14 | 85 | 71 | 507% | 0 | 7 | 7 | 100% | 0% | 14 | 92 | 78 | 557% | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 1 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 100% | 0 | 2 | 2 | 100% | 0% | 0 | 13 | 13 | 100% | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100% | 0% | 0 | 2 | 2 | 100% | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% 100% | 0% 0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% 100% | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 4 Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | |
| Total capital assets | 14 | 97 | 83 | 593% | 0 | 10 | 10 | 100% | 0% 0% | 14 | 107 | 93 | 664% | |
| (including general expenses) | 14 | 31 | 03 | 39376 | U | 10 | 10 | 10078 | 0 /8 | 14 | 107 | 33 | 00478 | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 1 | 134 | 138 | 4 | 3% | 6 | 6 | 0 | 1% | 4% | 139 | 144 | 5 | 3% | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 0 | 1 1 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100% | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 3 | 0 | o o | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0% | ő | 0 | 0 | 100% | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 5 | 0 | Ö | 0 | 100% | ō | Ö | 0 | 100% | 0% | ō | Ō | o o | 100% | |
| Total RCP subsidy | 134 | 139 | 5 | 4% | 6 | 6 | 0 | 1% | 4% | 139 | 145 | 6 | 4% | |
| Customer contributions ³ (thousands of \$) Year 0 | (8) | (7) | 1 | -13% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0% | (8) | (7) | 1 | -13% | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 1 | O O |) o | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0% | Ó | `ó | 0 | 100% | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0% | 0 | 2 | 2 | 100% | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | |
| Total customer contributions | (8) | (5) | 3 | -38% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0% | (8) | (5) | 3 | -38% | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 0 | 6 | 78 | 72 | 1200% | 0 | 7 | 7 | 100% | 0% | 6 | 85 | 79 | 1317% | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 1 | 134 | 149 | 15 | 12% | 6 | 8 | 2 | 34% | 4% | 139 | 157 | 18 | 13% | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 100% | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100% | 0% | 0 | 5 | 5 | 100% | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 5 Total investments | 0 140 | 0 231 | 0 91 | 100% 66% | 0 6 | 0 16 | 0 10 | 100% 169% | 0% 4% | 0 145 | 0 247 | 0 102 | 100% 70% | |
| | 140 | 201 | 31 | 0078 | | | | 10370 | 470 | 140 | | 102 | 1070 | |
| ORIGINAL RATE SCHEDULE ⁴ (2012) Impact on rates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| For the first year (thousands of \$) | (23) | (1) | 22 | | (2) | (0) | 2 | | | (25) | (1) | 24 | | |
| For the first five years (thousands of \$) | (97) | (9) | 88 | | (9) | (1) | 8 | | | (106) | (10) | 96 | | |
| Internal rate of return | 34.18% | 12.70% | -21.48% | | 64.62% | 11.53% | -53.09% | | | 35.04% | 12.62% | -22.42% | | |
| Break-even rate (years) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | | |
| ACTUAL RATES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Impact on rates | | | | | | | | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| For the first year (thousands of \$) For the first five years (thousands of \$) | (23) (97) | (1) (27) | 22 70 | | (2) (9) | (0) (2) | 2 6 | | | (25) (106) | (1) (29) | 24 77 | | |
| Internal rate of return | 34.18% | 14.97% | -19.21% | | 64.62% | 13.72% | -50.90% | | | 35.04% | 14.88% | -20.16% | | |
| Break-even rate (years) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | | |
| A posteriori valuated files are those for which no sale | | | | L | 1.00 | | 0.50 | 1 | L | 1.50 | 1.50 | 0.50 | 1 | |

1. A posteriori valuated files are those for which no sales of load additions were concluded after 2012.

^{2.} A posteriorinon valuated files are those for which there were sales load additions.

^{3.} All files refers to information for all sales of load additions concluded in the 2012 development plan for the residential market.

The term a posterior refers to the results of the analysis performed on the basis of actual volumes, investments, subsidies and contributions available to date, in addition to a forecast for the following years. This forecast is based on the actual data between July 1st, 2014 and June 30, 2015. The required revenue model is the same as the one applied a priori, with the original parameters.

5. Results according to the original rate schedule are calculated using actual revenue adjusted with the variation between the invoiced rate schedule and the original rate schedule used for the a priori profitability, based on rates approved by the Régie

Schedule 6 COMPARISON OF THE BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PLAN - NEW CUSTOMERS AND LOAD ADDITIONS 2012 A PRIORI VS 2012 A POSTERIORI

| December 1 | 2012 A PRIORI VS 2012 A POSTERIORI Description A priori A posteriori Data applied a posteriori Data applied a posteriori | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|---------|----------|----------|------------|--|
| Description | New | Additions | Total | New | Additions | Total | New | Additions | Total | Actual | Actual % | Forecast | Forecast % | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | |
| Number of customers Year 1 | 2,281 | 627 | 2,908 | 2,142 | 620 | 2,762 | (139) | (7) | (146) | 2,753 | 100% | 9 | 0% | |
| Number of customers Year 2 (cumulative) | 2,199 | 627 | 2,826 | 2,045 | 620 | 2,665 | (154) | (7) | (161) | 2,656 | 100% | 9 | 0% | |
| Number of customers Year 3 (cumulative) | 2,212 | 627 | 2,839 | 2,045 | 620 | 2,665 | (167) | (7) | (174) | 2,656 | 100% | 9 | 0% | |
| Number of customers Year 4 (cumulative) | 2,221 | 627 | 2,848 | 2,045 | 620 | 2,665 | (176) | (7) | (183) | 2,656 | 100% | 9 | 0% | |
| Number of customers Year 5 (cumulative) | 2,222 | 627 | 2,849 | 2,045 | 620 | 2,665 | (177) | (7) | (184) | 2,656 | 100% | 9 | 0% | |
| Volumes (103m3) Year 1 | 57,540 | 20,149 | 77,689 | 43,541 | 15,742 | 59,283 | (13,999) | (4,406) | (18,405) | 59,125 | 100% | 158 | 0% | |
| Volumes (103m3) Year 2 (cumulative) | 51,648 | 20,149 | 71,797 | 45,821 | 16,915 | 62,735 | (5,828) | (3,234) | (9,062) | 62,577 | 100% | 158 | 0% | |
| Volumes (103m3) Year 3 (cumulative) | 52,200 | 20,149 | 72,349 | 43,579 | 16,006 | 59,585 | (8,621) | (4,143) | (12,764) | 59,348 | 100% | 237 | 0% | |
| Volumes (103m3) Year 4 (cumulative) | 52,440 | 20,149 | 72,589 | 41,462 | 15,912 | 57,374 | (10,979) | (4,236) | (15,215) | 56,425 | 98% | 949 | 2% | |
| Volumes (103m3) Year 5 (cumulative) | 52,489 | 20,149 | 72,637 | 41,462 | 15,912 | 57,374 | (11,027) | (4,236) | (15,263) | 56,425 | 98% | 949 | 2% | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 0 | 25,681 | 2,408 | 28,090 | 17,075 | 1,553 | 18,628 | (8,606) | (855) | (9,462) | 18,603 | 100% | 25 | 0% | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 1 | 247 | 0 | 247 | 7,794 | 239 | 8,033 | 7,547 | 239 | 7,786 | 8,033 | 100% | 0 | 0% | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 95 | 0 | 95 | 1,144 | 12 | 1,156 | 1,049 | 12 | 1,061 | 725 | 63% | 431 | 37% | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 3 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 274 | 4 | 278 | 213 | 4 | 217 | 278 | 100% | 0 | 0% | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 4 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | (6) | 0 | (6) | 4 | 100% | 0 | 0% | |
| Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0% | |
| Total capital assets (including general expenses) | 26,094 | 2 408 | 28 503 | 26 291 | 1 808 | 28 099 | 197 | (600) | (404) | 27 643 | 98% | 456 | 2% | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 1 | 7,557 | 1,798 | 9,356 | 5.698 | 815 | 6,513 | (1,859) | (983) | (2,843) | 6,501 | 100% | 12 | 0% | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 130 | 0 | 130 | 619 | 520 | 1,139 | 489 | 520 | 1,009 | 1,034 | 91% | 105 | 9% | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 3 | 48 | 0 | 48 | 689 | 272 | 961 | 641 | 272 | 913 | 338 | 35% | 623 | 65% | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 4 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 515 | 48 | 563 | 493 | 48 | 541 | 50 | 9% | 513 | 91% | |
| RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 5 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0% | |
| Total RCP subsidy | 7,757 | 1,798 | 9,556 | 7,521 | 1,655 | 9,176 | (236) | (143) | (380) | 7,923 | 86% | 1,253 | 14% | |
| Customer contributions ³ (thousands of \$) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Year 0 | (984) | (3) | (987) | (754) | (3) | (757) | 230 | 0 | 230 | (757) | 100% | 0 | 0% | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 1 | (467) | 0 | (467) | (536) | (2) | (538) | (69) | (2) | (71) | (536) | 100% | (2) | 0% | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 2 | (9) | 0 | (9) | 23 | Ó | 23 | 32 | Ó | 32 | 23 | 100% | 0 | 0% | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 3 | (2) | 0 | (2) | 20 | 0 | 20 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 20 | 100% | 0 | 0% | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 4 | (1) | 0 | (1) | 4 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 100% | 0 | 0% | |
| Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0% | |
| Total customer contributions | (1,463) | (3) | (1,466) | (1,243) | (5) | (1,248) | 220 | (2) | 218 | (1,246) | 100% | (2) | 0% | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 0 | 24,698 | 2,405 | 27,104 | 16,321 | 1,550 | 17,871 | (8,377) | (855) | (9,233) | 17,846 | 100% | 25 | 0% | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 1 | 7,337 | 1,798 | 9,135 | 12,956 | 1,052 | 14,008 | 5,619 | (746) | 4,873 | 13,998 | 100% | 10 | 0% | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 217 | 0 | 217 | 1,786 | 532 | 2,318 | 1,569 | 532 | 2,101 | 1,782 | 77% | 536 | 23% | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 3 | 107 | 0 | 107 | 983 | 276 | 1,259 | 876 | 276 | 1,152 | 636 | 51% | 623 | 49% | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 4 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 523 | 48 | 571 | 492 | 48 | 540 | 58 | 10% | 513 | 90% | |
| Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 0 | 0% | |
| Total investments | 32,390 | 4,203 | 36,594 | 32,569 | 3,458 | 36,027 | 179 | (745) | (567) | 34,320 | 95% | 1,707 | 5% | |
| ORIGINAL RATE SCHEDULE ⁴ (2012) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Impact on rates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| For the first year (thousands of \$) | (3,577) | (1,571) | (5,148) | (3,445) | (1,310) | (4,755) | 132 | 261 | 393 | | | | | |
| For the first five years (thousands of \$) | (15,396) | (7,078) | (22,474) | (13,001) | (5,261) | (18,262) | 2,395 | 1,817 | 4,212 | | | | | |
| Internal rate of return | 18.77% | 47.11% | 21.73% | 16.71% | 48.84% | 19.25% | -2.06% | 1.73% | -2.48% | | | | | |
| Break-even rate (years) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | |
| ACTUAL RATES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Impact on rates | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| For the first year (thousands of \$) For the first five years (thousands of \$) | (3,577) (15,396) | (1,571) (7,078) | (5,148) (22,474) | (3,518) (16,159) | (1,310) (5,937) | (4,828) (22,096) | 59 (763) | 261 1,141 | 320 378 | | | | | |
| r or the first live years (thousands of \$) | (10,086) | (1,070) | (22,414) | (10,100) | (0,001) | (22,000) | (103) | 1,141 | 3/0 | | | | | |
| Internal rate of return | 18.77% | 47.11% | 21.73% | 19.13% | 53.23% | 21.92% | 0.36% | 6.12% | 0.19% | | | | | |
| Break-even rate (years) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | |
| 1. The term a priori refers to the results of the 2012 | | | | | az Métro-13 Docum | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | |

^{1.} The term a priori refers to the results of the 2012 development plan presented in the 2012 Annual Report (R-3831-2012, Gaz Métro-13, Document 2).

^{2.} The term a posteriori refers to the results of the analysis performed on the basis of actual volumes, investments, subsidies and contributions available to date, in addition to a forecast for the following years. This forecast is based on the actual data between July 1st, 2014 and June 30, 2015,

or, on the a priori data for customers who have not yet cumulated 12 months worth of consumption. The required revenue model is the same as the one applied a priori, with the original parameters.

^{3.} Customer contributions aggregate the \$300 connection contribution and other types of contributions (lump-sum, location and connection timeframe).

^{4.} Results according to the original rate schedule are calculated using actual revenue adjusted with the variation between the invoiced rate schedule and the original rate schedule used for the a priori profitability, based on rates approved by the Régie.

Schedule 7 COMPARISON OF THE BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PLAN - NEW CUSTOMERS 2012 A PRIORI VS 2012 A POSTERIORI

| Tomostories | Description | A priori¹ | A posteriori ² | Variance a priori vs | Variance a priori vs | | Data applied a posi | | |
|--|--|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|---------------------|-------|------|
| **Sumber of castemore Year 1** **Part For Castemore Year 1** **Par | | • | • | • | | | | | |
| Surber of costorner Vera 2 (controllative) 2.190 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sumber of continents Vera 3 (controllation) | | | | | | | | | |
| Surber of controver Ever at (cumulative) 2 221 | | | | | | 2,036 | | | |
| Sumber of contranser Year 5 (contransive) 2.222 2.045 (177) 3.95, 2.059 1.00, 43, 512 1.00, 515, 60 1.00, 515, 60 3.00, 515, 60 4.5, 61 4.5, 61 4.5, 62 1.00, 62 1.00, 62 1.00, 63, 63 1.00, 63, 63 | | | | | | | | | |
| Additional Common Com | | | | | | | | | |
| Columbra (10hm) Year 2 (comunative) 51.648 45.821 (5.828) 1-11% 45.662 10.0% 138 07% 10.00mm (10hm) Year 2 (comunative) 52.498 45.921 (6.827) 1-11% 45.952 99% 229 125 (6.827) 1-11% 45.952 99% 229 125 (6.827) 1-11% 45.952 199% 229 125 (6.827) 1-11% 45.952 199% 229 125 (6.827) 1-11% 45.952 199% 229 125 (6.827) 1-11% 45.952 199% 229 125 (6.827) 1-11% 45.952 199% 1-10% 1- | Number of customers Year 5 (cumulative) | 2,222 | 2,045 | (177) | -8% | 2,036 | 100% | 9 | 0% |
| Columbia (Porthy) Year 2 (cumulative) 51,648 45,821 (5,828) 1-11% 45,662 100% 158 07% 100% 1 | /olumes (103m3) Year 1 | 57 540 | 43 541 | (13 999) | -24% | 43 382 | 100% | 158 | 0% |
| Columbe (10 mm) Year 2 (comutative) S2,200 43,578 (8,621) 1-77% 43,943 99% 227 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Columbs (19thy) Year 4 (comutative) 52,440 41,462 (10,979) 2-1% 40,0512 98% 949 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Olumber (UPM) Year G (cumulative) \$2,489 | | | | | | | | | |
| Second S | | | | | | | | | |
| spital asses (housands of § Year 1 1 | Diumes (10°m³) Year 5 (cumulative) | 52,489 | 41,462 | (11,027) | -21% | 40,512 | 98% | 949 | 2% |
| spitel assess (housands of § Year 2 95 1.144 1.049 11044 713 62% 431 38% 1048 1108 11 | | | | | | | | | |
| gelptal assests (thousands of \$) Year 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| apital assests (thousands of \$) Year 3 | apital assets (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 95 | 1,144 | 1,049 | 1104% | 713 | 62% | 431 | 38% |
| apital assests (focusands of \$) Year 4 (0) | | 61 | 274 | 213 | 349% | 274 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| apital assets (housands of 5) Year 5 0 | | 10 | 4 | | -60% | 4 | 100% | 0 | |
| 26,094 26,291 197 1% 25,835 89% 456 2% 2% 100 100 1% 1% 25,835 89% 456 2% 2% 100 100 1% 1% 25,835 89% 456 2% 2% 100 100 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% | | | 0 | | | 0 | | 0 | |
| Package Pack | | 26 094 | 26 291 | 197 | | 25 835 | | | |
| CP subsidy (housands of \$) Year 2 | | 20,034 | 20,231 | 101 | 1,76 | 25,000 | 30 / 0 | 450 | 270 |
| CP subsidy (Thousands of S) Year 3 48 689 641 1335% 66 610% 623 99% 67 subsidy (Thousands of S) Year 3 48 689 641 1335% 66 610% 623 99% 610% 628 10 | CD subsidu (theusende of th) Veer 4 | 7 557 | F C00 | (4.050) | 250/ | 5.000 | 4000/ | 40 | 00/ |
| CP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| CP subsidy (throughands of \$) Year 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| CP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 5 C | | 48 | | | | | | | |
| 14st RCP subsidity | CP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 4 | | | | | | | | |
| ustomer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 0 (984) (754) (230 -23% (754) 100% 0 0 0% ustomer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 1 (467) (536) (69) 15% (534) 100% (2) 0% ustomer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 3 (2) 2 2 3 3 2 2 556% 23 100% 0 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0 0 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 | CP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 5 | | | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| sistemer contributions (thousands of §) Year 1 (467) (536) (69) 15% (534) 100% (2) 0% (2) 0% (3) (2) 23 32 -366% 23 100% 0 0 0% (3) Year 3 (2) 20 22 1-1100% 20 100% 0 0 0% (4) (4) 100% 0 0 0% (4) (4) 100% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | otal RCP subsidy | 7,757 | 7,521 | (236) | -3% | 6,268 | 83% | 1,253 | 17% |
| stomer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 1 (467) (536) (69) 15% (534) 100% (2) 90% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | stomer contributions ³ (thousands of \$) Year 0 | (984) | (754) | 230 | -23% | (754) | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| sistemer contributions (thousands of §) Year 2 (9) 23 32 -356% 23 100% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | ustomer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 1 | (467) | (536) | (69) | 15% | (534) | 100% | (2) | 0% |
| ustomer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 3 (2) 20 22 -1100% 20 100% 0 0 0% stomer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 5 0 0 0 0 100% 0 100% 0 100% 0 0 0% stomer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 5 0 0 0 0 0 100% 0 100% 0 100% 0 0 0% of the contributions (thousands of \$) Year 5 0 0 0 0 0 100% 0 100% 0 100% 0 0 0% of the contributions (thousands of \$) Year 6 0 100% 0 | | | | | -356% | | | | |
| ustomer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 4 (1) 4 5 500% 4 100% 0 0 0% of 100% 0 100% 0 0 100% 0 0 100% 0 0 100% 0 0 0 0 | | (2) | | | | | | | |
| ustomer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 5 (1,483) (1,443) (1,24) (1,24) | ustomer contributions (thousands of \$) Vear 4 | (1) | | 5 | | | | | |
| cotal customer contributions (1,463) (1,243) 220 -15% (1,241) 100% (2) 0% cotal investments (thousands of \$) Year 0 24,698 16,321 (8,377) -34% 16,296 100% 25 0% cotal investments (thousands of \$) Year 1 7,337 12,956 5,619 77% 12,946 100% 10 0% cotal investments (thousands of \$) Year 2 217 1,786 1,569 723% 1,250 70% 536 30% cotal investments (thousands of \$) Year 3 107 983 876 819% 360 37% 623 63% cotal investments (thousands of \$) Year 4 31 523 492 1587% 10 2% 513 98% cotal investments (thousands of \$) Year 6 0 0 0 0 100% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Investments (thousands of \$) Year 0 | astoliner contributions (triousarius or ψ) Tear 3 | · · | | | | | | | |
| 12,946 1,00% 10 0% 10 0% 10 0% 10 0% 10 0% 10 0% 10 0% 10 10 | otal customer contributions | (1,403) | (1,243) | 220 | -13% | (1,241) | 10076 | (2) | 0 76 |
| rotal investments (thousands of \$) Year 2 217 1,786 1,569 723% 1,250 70% 536 30% rotal investments (thousands of \$) Year 3 107 983 876 819% 360 37% 623 63% rotal investments (thousands of \$) Year 4 31 523 492 1587% 10 2% 513 98% rotal investments (thousands of \$) Year 5 0 0 0 100% 0 100% 0 100% 0 100% 0 98% rotal investments (thousands of \$) Year 5 0 32,390 32,569 179 1% 30,862 95% 1,707 5% PRIGINAL RATE SCHEDULE¹ (2012) 132 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | | | | | | | | | |
| 107 983 876 819% 360 37% 623 623 63% | | | | | | | | | |
| otal investments (thousands of \$) Year 4 31 523 492 1587% 10 2% 513 98% otal investments (thousands of \$) Year 5 0 0 0 0 100% 0 100% 0 0 9% otal investments (thousands of \$) Year 5 0 0 32,390 32,569 179 1% 30,862 95% 1,707 5% 1707 5% 1707 1707 1707 1707 1707 1707 1707 170 | otal investments (thousands of \$) Year 2 | 217 | 1,786 | 1,569 | 723% | 1,250 | 70% | 536 | 30% |
| otal investments (thousands of \$) Year 4 31 523 492 1587% 10 2% 513 98% otal investments (thousands of \$) Year 5 0 0 0 0 100% 0 100% 0 0 9% otal investments (thousands of \$) Year 5 0 0 32,390 32,569 179 1% 30,862 95% 1,707 5% 1707 5% 1707 1707 1707 1707 1707 1707 1707 170 | otal investments (thousands of \$) Year 3 | 107 | 983 | 876 | 819% | 360 | 37% | 623 | 63% |
| otal investments (thousands of \$) Year 5 0 0 0 100% 0 100% 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>523</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>513</td> <td></td> | | | 523 | | | | | 513 | |
| 179 1% 30,862 95% 1,707 5% | | | | | | | | | |
| Page to nates For the first year (thousands of \$) (3.577) (3.445) 132 (15.396) (15.396) (15.001) 2.395 (15.396) | | 32,390 | 32,569 | 179 | | 30,862 | | 1,707 | |
| Page to nates For the first year (thousands of \$) (3.577) (3.445) 132 (15.396) (15.396) (15.001) 2.395 (15.396) | RIGINAL RATE SCHEDULE ⁴ (2012) | | | | | | | | |
| For the first five years (thousands of \$) (15.396) (13,001) 2,395 (13,001) 2,395 (14,001) 2,395 (15.396) (15.396) (15.396) (15.396) (16.71% -2.06% (15.396) (15.396) (15.396) (16.159) | npact on rates | | | | | | | | |
| 18.77% 16.71% -2.06% | | | | | | | | | |
| reak-even rate (years) 1.00 1.00 0.00 CTUAL RATES mpact on rates For the first year (thousands of \$) (3.577) (3.518) 59 For the first five years (thousands of \$) (15.396) (16,159) (763) nternal rate of return 18.77% 19.13% 0.36% | For the first five years (thousands of \$) | (15.396) | (13,001) | 2,395 | | | | | |
| CTUAL RATES mpact on rates For the first year (thousands of \$) (3.577) (3.518) 59 For the first five years (thousands of \$) (15.396) (16,159) (763) nternal rate of return 18.77% 19.13% 0.36% | nternal rate of return | 18.77% | 16.71% | -2.06% | | | | | |
| mpact on rates (3.577) (3.518) 59 For the first year (thousands of \$) (15.396) (16,159) (763) Nternal rate of return 18.77% 19.13% 0.36% | 3reak-even rate (years) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | | | | | |
| ### ### ############################## | CTUAL RATES | | | | | | | | |
| For the first year (thousands of \$) (3.577) (3.518) 59 For the first five years (thousands of \$) (15.396) (16,159) (763) Iternal rate of return 18.77% 19.13% 0.36% | | | | | | | | | |
| For the first five years (thousands of \$) (15.396) (16,159) (763) ternal rate of return 18.77% 19.13% 0.36% | | (3.577) | (3.518) | 59 | | | | | |
| ternal rate of return 18.77% 19.13% 0.36% | | | | | | | | | |
| | i or the matrive years (mousanus or y) | (13.330) | (10,139) | (763) | | | | | |
| 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2 | ternal rate of return | 18.77% | 19.13% | 0.36% | | | | | |
| | reak-even rate (years) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | | | | | |

^{1.} The term a priori refers to the results of the 2012 development plan presented in the 2012 Annual Report (R-3831-2012, Gaz Métro-13, Document 2).

2. The term a proori refers to the results of the analysis performed on the basis of actual volumes, revenue, investments, subsidies and contributions available to date, in addition to a forecast for the following years. This forecast is based on the actual data between July 1st, 2014 and June 30, 2015 or, on the a priori data for customers who have not yet cumulated 12 months worth of consumption. The required revenue is the same as the one applied a priori, with the original parameters.

3. Customer contributions aggregate the \$300 connection contribution and other types of contributions (lump-sum, location and connection timeframe).

4. Results according to the original rate schedule are calculated using actual revenue adjusted with the variation between the invoiced rate schedule and the original rate schedule used for the a priori profitability, based on rates approved by the Régie.

Schedule 8 COMPARISON OF THE BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PLAN - LOAD ADDITIONS 2012 A PRIORI VS 2012 A POSTERIORI

| | Description | | A posteriori | /aluated files | 2012 | A 1 1110 | A P | osteriori non va | | | All files | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Line | · | A priori (1) | A posteriori (2) | Variance a priori vs a posteriori (3) | Variance a priori vs a posteriori (4) | A priori (5) | A posteriori (6) | Variance a priori vs a posteriori (7) | Variance a priori vs a posteriori (8) | Proportion (9) | A priori (1) | A posteriori (2) | Variance <i>a priori</i> vs <i>a posteriori</i> (3) | Variance <i>a priori</i> vs <i>a posteriori</i> (4) | |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | Number of customers Year 1 Number of customers Year 2 (cumulative) Number of customers Year 3 (cumulative) Number of customers Year 4 (cumulative) Number of customers Year 5 (cumulative) | 536 536 536 536 536 | 529 529 529 529 529 | (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) | -1% -1% -1% -1% -1% | 91 91 91 91 91 | 91 91 91 91 91 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% | 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% | 627 627 627 627 627 | 620 620 620 620 620 | (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) | -1% -1% -1% -1% -1% | |
| 6 7 8 9 10 | Volumes (10³m³) Year 1 Volumes (10³m³) Year 2 (cumulative) Volumes (10³m³) Year 3 (cumulative) Volumes (10³m²) Year 4 (cumulative) Volumes (10³m²) Year 5 (cumulative) | 14,168 14,168 14,168 14,168 14,168 | 11,069 11,894 11,255 11,189 11,189 | (3,098) (2,274) (2,913) (2,979) (2,979) | -22% -16% -21% -21% -21% | 5,981 5,981 5,981 5,981 5,981 | 4,673 5,021 4,751 4,723 4,723 | (1,308) (960) (1,230) (1,258) (1,258) | -22% -16% -21% -21% -21% | 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% | 20,149 20,149 20,149 20,149 20,149 | 15,742 16,915 16,006 15,912 15,912 | (4,406) (3,234) (4,143) (4,236) (4,236) | -22% -16% -21% -21% -21% | |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 0 Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 1 Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 2 Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 3 Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 3 Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 4 Capital assets (thousands of \$) Year 5 Total Capital assets (including general expenses) | 1,872 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,872 | 1,219 163 7 2 0 0 1,391 | (653) 163 7 2 0 0 (481) | -35% 100% 100% 100% 100% -26% | 536 0 0 0 0 0 536 | 334 76 5 2 0 0 | (202) 76 5 2 0 0 (119) | -38% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% -22% | 22% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 22% | 2,408 0 0 0 0 0 2,408 | 1,553 239 12 4 0 0 | (855) 239 12 4 0 (600) | -36% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% -25% | |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 | RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 1 RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 2 RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 3 RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 4 RCP subsidy (thousands of \$) Year 5 Total RCP subsidy | 1,358 0 0 0 0 1,358 | 686 371 218 48 0 1,323 | (672) 371 218 48 0 (35) | -49% 100% 100% 100% 100% - 3% | 440 0 0 0 0 440 | 129 149 54 0 0 | (311) 149 54 0 0 (108) | -71% 100% 100% 100% 100% -25% | 24% 0% 0% 0% 0% 24% | 1,798 0 0 0 0 1,798 | 815 520 272 48 0 1,655 | (983) 520 272 48 0 (143) | -55% 100% 100% 100% 100% -8% | |
| 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 | Customer contributions ³ (thousands of \$) Year 0 Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 1 Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 2 Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 3 Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 4 Customer contributions (thousands of \$) Year 5 Total customer contributions | (3) 0 0 0 0 0 (3) | (3) (1) 0 0 0 0 (4) | 0 (1) 0 0 0 0 (1) | 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 33% | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (1) 0 0 0 0 (1) | 0 (1) 0 0 0 0 (1) | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% | 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% | (3) 0 0 0 0 0 0 (3) | (3) (2) 0 0 0 0 0 (5) | 0 (2) 0 0 0 0 (2) | 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 67% | |
| 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 | Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 0 Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 1 Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 2 Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 3 Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 3 Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 5 Total investments (thousands of \$) Year 5 | 1,869 1,358 0 0 0 0 3,227 | 1,216 848 378 220 48 0 2,710 | (653) (510) 378 220 48 0 (517) | -35% -38% 100% 100% 100% 100% - 16% | 536 440 0 0 0 0 976 | 334 204 154 56 0 0 748 | (202) (236) 154 56 0 0 (228) | -38% -54% 100% 100% 100% 100% -23% | 22% 24% 0% 0% 0% 0% 23% | 2,405 1,798 0 0 0 4,203 | 1,550 1,052 532 276 48 0 | (855) (746) 532 276 48 0 (745) | -36% -41% 100% 100% 100% 100% -18% | |
| 38 39 40 | ORIGINAL RATE SCHEDULE* (2012) Impact on rates For the first year (thousands of \$) For the first five years (thousands of \$) | (1,188) (5,390) | (975) (3,962) | 213 1,428 | | (384) (1,688) | (335) (1,299) | 49 389 | | | (1,571) (7,078) | (1,310) (5,261) | 261 1,817 | | |
| 41 | Internal rate of return | 46.58% | 47.06% | 0.48% | | 48.93% | 55.59% | 6.66% | | | 47.11% | 48.84% | 1.73% | | |
| 42 | Break-even rate (years) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | | |
| 43 44 45 | ACTUAL RATES Impact on rates For the first year (thousands of \$) For the first five years (thousands of \$) | (1,188) (5,390) | (975) (4,479) | 213 911 | | (384) (1,688) | (335) (1,458) | 49 230 | | | (1,571) (7,078) | (1,310) (5,937) | 261 1,141 | | |
| 46 | Internal rate of return | 46.58% | 51.39% | 4.81% | | 48.93% | 60.18% | 11.25% | | | 47.11% | 53.23% | 6.12% | | |
| 47 48 | Break-even rate (years) 1. A posteriori valuated files are those for which no sales of lo | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0 ofter 2012 | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | | |

^{1.} A posteriori valuated files are those for which no sales of load additions were concluded after 2012.

^{2.} A posteriori non valuated files are those for which there were sales of load additions after 2012.

^{2.} A If These refers to information for all sales of load additions concluded in the 2012 development plan for the business market.

4. The term a posterior refers to the results of the analysis performed on the basis of actual volumes, investments, subsidies and contributions available to date, in addition to a forecast for the following years. This forecast is based on the actual data between July 1st, 2014 and June 30, 2015 The required revenue model is the same as the one applied a priori, with the original parameters.

^{5.} Results according to the original rate schedule are calculated using actual revenue adjusted with the variation between the invoiced rate schedule and the original rate schedule used for the a priori profitability, based on rates