[Translation of French Original]

INFORMATION REQUEST No. 1 FROM ROEÉ TO ELENCHUS

Énergir — Application re the rule-making proceeding on cost allocation and the rate structurte of Énergir

RÉGIE DE L'ÉNERGIE — MATTER R-3867-2013, Phase 2B Component 1A

ÉNERGIR'S CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK RELATING TO THE FUNCTIONALIZATION AND COST ALLOCATION OF SUPPLY, TRANSPORT, LOAD-BALANCING AND OPERATIONAL FLEXIBILITY SERVICES

1. References

- I) B-0574, pages 12-13 and 14
- II) B-0579, p 100 to 109 or English version B-582, p. 100 to 108
- III) A-0219, pages 34 36

Preamble

- Ref. i): Reference i) presents the cycle of functionalization of costs under the conceptual framework presented by the distributor.
- Ref. ii): Reference ii) presents in more detail the 4 steps of the conceptual framework relative to the functionalization and the cost allocation of the distributor's supply, transport, load-balancing and operational flexibility services.
- Ref. iii): Reference iii) is an excerpt of the report by Mr. Todd of Elenchus that seems to have largely inspired the conceptual framework presented by the distributor.

Questions:

- 1.1 Please indicate if, in theory, the proposal of the distributor seems adequate and in line with the Elenchus proposal.
- 1.2 In the ROEE's understanding, the distributor adds a step to your proposal as presented in reference iii). Energir's proposal provides for functionalizing and classifying the costs forload balancing related to rate flexibility rather than determining them « by subtracting the cost of the seasonal load balancing supply plan from the actual total supply cost". Please indicate whether you consider the Energir method to beconsistent with standard industry practice.
 - 1.2.1 Please indicate if you see advantages or disadvantages of adding a step of functionalization and classification of costs for the balancing related to rate flexibility.
 - 1.2.2 Please indicate if to your knowledge other jurisdictions use this method.
 - 1.2.3 If so, please provide this information