

Réponse du Transporteur à l'engagement 2

(Demandé par NEMC)

Engagement 2

(Demandé par Me André Turmel pour NEMC, 2019-05-13, notes sténo., volume 16, page 112)

Référence : HQT-6, Document 2.2, page 4, première puce

Indiquer si les catégories utilisées par le Transporteur pour classifier ses investissements en transport, dans la version antérieure, sont adéquates pour permettre l'allocation de coûts parmi les catégories d'investissement.

Réponse

Dr. Metin Celebi concludes after reviewing the current version (i.e., the version in effect prior to the proposed version submitted by HQT on March 7, 2019) that the categories used by HQT in the current version of the category descriptions are adequate to properly allocate costs among HQT's categories of investments.

Dr. Celebi's conclusion is based on the following observations: (1) the investment categories used in the current version of the descriptions document are the same as the ones in the proposed version; (2) the investment categories in the current version of the descriptions document are exhaustive of the types of objectives relevant to Quebec electric system; and (3) each of the investment categories in the current version of the descriptions document are mutually exclusive.

In addition, Dr. Celebi notes that the proposed version of the descriptions document does not change the meaning and substance of the four categories relative to the current version of the descriptions document. The proposed version provides further clarity and transparency of the types of projects and objectives included in each category by providing landmarks as requested by the Régie and by stating explicitly that investments required to meet the need for point-to-point transmission service request are to be classified under the Growth category.