Lelenchus

Performance Based Regulation: A Review of Design Options

John Todd and Cynthia Chaplin

Before the Régie de l'énergie 27 May 2015

5/26/2015

Lelenchus

Key Themes of the Elenchus Report

- 1. All regulatory regimes have incentives, often implicit.
- 2. Performance Based Regulation is essentially an enhancement of traditional Cost of Service (COS).
- 3. The appropriate PBR regime depends on the context.
- 4. PBR regimes generally evolve over time to address new policy issues and identified shortcomings.
- 5. The evolution tends to increase complexity.
- 6. PBR will continue to evolve in the future.

5/26/2015

Lelenchus

2

Context: The First Design Consideration

- > Start with clearly defined objectives
- > The design must reflect the context, including:
 - > Current regulatory framework
 - > Current utility performance
 - ➤ Industry structure
 - Data quality and access
 - ➤ Government policy
 - > Stakeholder perspectives
 - > Trends in the sector
 - ➤ Short term and long term goals

5/26/2015



3

Evolution: It's Natural

- ➤ Regulatory principles (stability, predictability)
- ➤ Plan for and manage the pace of change
 - > Set objectives and the transition process in advance
 - ➤ Monitor results and evaluate transparently
 - ➤ Consider changes in the context
 - > Remain committed to the objectives
- > Benefits of evolving the PBR regime over time
 - > Stakeholder and utility support grows
 - > Expertise increases
 - > Data improves (anticipate future requirements)
 - > The path to the objectives can be modified

5/26/2015



4

Complexity: Finding the Balance

- > Part of the normal evolution of PBR
- ➤ Add-ons: capital factor, efficiency carry-over
- > Trade offs: e.g. earnings sharing
- ➤ More advanced analytics
- > Integrate with other regulatory objectives
- ➤ The policy environment is becoming more complex; an expanded role for regulators
- The business of electricity is becoming more complex (technology, non-utility alternatives, etc.)

5/26/2015

Lelenchus

5

Future: More Change is Coming

- ➤ Government policy
- > Technology (generation, network and information)
- Customer preferences (information availability, responsiveness, innovation, Google)
- > Speed of change has accelerated
- ➤ Risk: the monopoly is not secure; hence, the traditional regulatory compact may not be assured
- ➤ PBR can either impede or embrace change it depends on the design

5/26/2015

Lelenchus

6

Recap: It's a Delicate Balance

- ➤ Design Flexibility versus Predictability
- > Service Innovation versus Risk
- ➤ Protecting Customers versus Service Providers (a new regulatory compact?)
- ➤ Operational Cost versus Service
- > Technological Change Leader versus Follower

5/26/2015

Lelenchus

•