

GAZIFÈRE INC.
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2016 RATE CASE

Q.1 Please state your full name, and your current position.

A.1 My name is Jackie Collier, I am Manager Rate Design, at Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc (“EGD”). I am Anton Kacicnik, I am Manager Rate Research and Design at EGD.

Q.2 What are your professional qualifications, experience, and previous appearances before this or other regulatory tribunals?

A.2 Please refer to our Curriculum Vitae filed at Exhibit G1-37, documents 2 and 3.

Q.3 What is the purpose of this testimony?

A.3 This testimony addresses the derivation of Gazifère’s (the “Company”) distribution and gas costs rates for 2016. In addition to the 2016 distribution rates filed in Exhibit G1-37, the Company has designed rates to reflect the impact of the gas cost consequences stemming from the proposed 2016 volumetric forecast, contract demand and lost and unaccounted for gas forecast and Niagara Gas costs. This impact results in a revenue sufficiency of \$(630.6) thousand for a total Company sufficiency inclusive of gas costs revenue sufficiency of \$(1,990.6) thousand effective January 1, 2016. The sufficiency of \$(630.6) thousand is based on July 1, 2015 Rate 200 gas costs. The derivation of the \$(630.6) thousand is presented in evidence at Exhibit G1-27, document 1.

The development of the distribution rates is outlined in Exhibit G1-37, Document 1. The development of the gas supply, load balancing and transportation charges is based on the results of the fully allocated cost study. These rates are entirely cost based and contain no rate design adjustments. The fully allocated cost study inclusive of gas costs is filed at Exhibit G1-44, Document 1 to 1.11. The rates including the impacts of the 2016 gas costs consequences can be found at Exhibit G1-44, documents 3.3.

GAZIFÈRE INC.
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2016 RATE CASE

Q.4 What are the proposed customer rate impacts resulting from the 2016 sufficiency of \$(1,990.6) thousand inclusive of 2016 gas costs?

A.4 Below is a summary of the proposed 2016 rate impacts for all customer classes expressed on a Sales service and T-service (excludes commodity) basis.

<u>Rate Class</u>	<u>Sales Service</u>	<u>T-Service</u>
Rate 1	-5.8%	-8.7%
Rate 2	-0.9%	-1.2%
Rate 3	-2.9%	-5.1%
Rate 5	-3.7%	-7.9%
Rate 9	-2.9%	-6.5%

Q.5 Are you proposing any changes to the rate design for 2016?

A.5 No, the Company is proposing no changes to its 2016 rate design.

Q.6 Does this conclude your evidence?

A.6 Yes, it does.