

GAZIFÈRE INC.
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2017 RATE CASE

Please state your full name, and your current position.

A.1 My name is Jackie Collier, I am Manager Rate Design, at Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc (“EGD”). I am Anton Kacicnik, I am Manager Rate Research and Design at EGD.

Q.2 What are your professional qualifications, experience, and previous appearances before this or other regulatory tribunals?

A.2 Please refer to our Curriculum Vitae filed at Exhibit GI-32, documents 3 and 4.

Q.3 What is the purpose of this testimony?

A.3 This testimony addresses the derivation of Gazifère’s (the “Company”) distribution and gas costs rates for 2017. In addition to the 2017 distribution rates filed in Exhibit G1-32, Document 1, the Company has designed rates to reflect the impact of the gas cost consequences stemming from the proposed forecast of 2017 volumes, contract demand, lost and unaccounted for gas and Niagara Gas costs. This impact results in a revenue deficiency for 2017 of \$117.0 thousand. This deficiency combined with the distribution sufficiency of \$(377.0) thousand results in a total Company sufficiency inclusive of gas costs of \$(260.0) thousand effective January 1, 2017. The deficiency of \$117.0 thousand is based on October 1, 2016 Rate 200 gas costs. The derivation of the \$117.0 thousand is presented in evidence at Exhibit GI-21, document 1.

The development of the distribution rates is outlined in Exhibit G1-32, Document 1. The development of the gas supply, load balancing and transportation charges is based on the results of the fully allocated cost study. These rates are entirely cost based and contain no rate design adjustments. The fully allocated cost study inclusive of gas costs is filed at Exhibit G1-31, Document 2. The rates including the impacts of the 2017 gas costs consequences can be found at Exhibit G1-32, Documents 2 to 2.5.

GAZIFÈRE INC.
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2017 RATE CASE

Q.4 What are the proposed customer rate impacts resulting from the 2017 sufficiency of \$(260.0) thousand inclusive of 2017 gas costs?

A.4 Below is a summary of the proposed 2017 rate impacts for all customer classes expressed on a Sales service and T-service (excludes commodity) basis.

<u>Rate Class</u>	<u>Sales Service</u>	<u>T-Service</u>
Rate 1	<u>-0.5%</u>	<u>-0.7%</u>
Rate 2	<u>-0.3%</u>	<u>-0.4%</u>
Rate 3	<u>-0.5%</u>	<u>-0.6%</u>
Rate 5	<u>-0.9%</u>	<u>-1.6%</u>
Rate 9	<u>-0.6%</u>	<u>-1.1%</u>

Q.5 Are you proposing any changes to the rate design methodology for 2017?

A.5 No, the Company is proposing no changes to its 2017 rate design methodology. However as identified in Exhibit G1-34, Document 7, Page 29, Response 11.4, the Company has filed this evidence correction to correct the load balancing rates as were previously filed in Exhibit G1-32, Documents 2.1 to 2.5.

Q.6 Does this conclude your evidence?

A.6 Yes, it does.