

GAZIFÈRE INC.  
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK  
REGARDING TOTAL REVENUE WITH CHANGE OF METHODOLOGY  
2018 RATE CASE

**Q.1 Please state your full name, and your current position.**

A.1 My name is Jackie Collier, I am Rate Design Specialist, at Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc (“EGD”). I am Anton Kacicnik, I am Manager Rate Design at EGD.

**Q.2 What are your professional qualifications, experience, and previous appearances before this or other regulatory tribunals?**

A.2 Please refer to our Curriculum Vitae filed at Exhibit GI-41, documents 3 and 4.

**Q.3 What is the purpose of this testimony?**

A.3 This testimony addresses the derivation of Gazifère’s (the “Company”) distribution and gas costs rates for 2018 incorporating the proposed change in the allocation of Capacity costs and corresponding proposed distribution rates filed at Exhibit GI-43. The change in the allocation of Capacity costs has no impact on the gas cost revenue sufficiency for 2018 of \$(178.7) thousand, the distribution deficiency of \$746.0 thousand and consequentially the total Company deficiency inclusive of gas costs of \$567.0 thousand effective January 1, 2018. The change in allocation of Capacity costs also has no impact on the derivation of the gas supply commodity, load balancing or transportation rates. The gas cost related rates filed within GI-43, Document 2.3 are the same as those filed at GI-41, Document 2.3.

The development of the distribution rates based on the proposed allocation of capacity costs is outlined in Exhibit G1-43, Document 1. The development of the gas supply, load balancing and transportation rates are based on the results of the fully allocated cost study. These rates are entirely cost based and contain no rate design adjustments. The fully allocated cost study inclusive of gas costs is filed at

GAZIFÈRE INC.  
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK  
REGARDING TOTAL REVENUE WITH CHANGE OF METHODOLOGY  
2018 RATE CASE

Exhibit G1-42, Document 2. The rates including the impacts of the 2018 gas costs consequences can be found at Exhibit G1-43, Documents 2 to 2.5

**Q.4 What are the proposed customer rate impacts resulting from the 2018 deficiency of \$567.0 thousand inclusive of 2018 gas costs under the existing cost allocation methodology compared to the proposed?**

A.4 Below is a summary of the proposed 2018 rate impacts for all customer classes expressed on a Sales service and T-service (excludes commodity) basis.

**Existing Methodology**

<u>Rate Class</u>	<u>Sales Service</u>	<u>T-Service</u>
Rate 1	0.6%	0.9%
Rate 2	1.6%	2.0%
Rate 3	0.2%	0.3%
Rate 4	-4.0%	-7.8%
Rate 5	0.0%	0.0%
Rate 9	-0.8%	-2.1%

**Proposed Methodology**

<u>Rate Class</u>	<u>Sales Service</u>	<u>T-Service</u>
Rate 1	0.6%	0.8%
Rate 2	2.4%	3.1%
Rate 3	0.9%	1.5%
Rate 4	-3.4%	-6.5%
Rate 5	-5.2%	-11.9%
Rate 9	-4.5%	-10.6%

**Q.5 Does this conclude your evidence?**

A.5 Yes, it does.