

GAZIFÈRE INC.
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2021 RATE CASE

Q.1 Please state your full name, and your current position.

A.1 My name is Jackie Collier, I am Rate Design Specialist, at Enbridge Gas Inc. I am Anton Kacicnik. I am Manager Rates at Enbridge Gas Inc.

Q.2 What are your professional qualifications, experience, and previous appearances before this or other regulatory tribunals?

A.2 Please refer to our Curriculum Vitae filed at Exhibit GI-45, documents 4 and 5.

Q.3 What is the purpose of this testimony?

A.3 This testimony addresses Gazifère's (the "Company") proposed allocation of the 2021 forecast distribution revenue requirement and distribution revenue deficiency to the various customer rate classes and the development of the 2021 distribution rates. These 2021 distribution rates are derived using the results of the 2021 Regie approved methodologies of the fully allocated cost study as a guide. This evidence does not address the derivation of the gas supply, load balancing, and transportation charges. These charges will continue to be determined within Gazifère's quarterly rate change mechanism.

Q.4 What is the distribution revenue deficiency and how much is it for the test year?

A.4 The distribution revenue deficiency is the difference between the distribution revenue requirement for the test year determined by the 2021 cost of service budget and the revenues derived by applying the current distribution rates

GAZIFÈRE INC.
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2021 RATE CASE

from the Régie's Decision D-2019-163 (2020 rates) to the 2021 test year number of customers and volumes. It is approximately \$960.0 thousand for 2021. In other words, revenues at current rates are \$960.0 thousand lower than the 2021 revenue requirement.

Q.5 Please provide an overview of the organization of the documents contained under Tab GI-45, document 1.1, pages 1 to 4. In addition, please provide a summary of the content of these documents.

A.5 Certainly. Document 1.1, page 1 (Revenue Comparison – Current Distribution Revenue vs. Proposed Distribution Revenue), contains by rate class a summary of test year 2021 volumes (Col. 2), associated distribution revenues under the current 2020 distribution rates (Col.3), associated revenues under the proposed 2021 rates (Col. 5), and the corresponding 2021 revenue deficiency of \$960.0 thousand (Col. 4).

Document 1.1, page 2 provides a summary of the proposed unit rate changes by rate class. The unit rates currently in effect, the unit rate changes, and the proposed unit rates are provided in this document on a rate class basis.

Document 1.1, page 4, provides the current and proposed average unit rates for the commodity, load balancing, transportation, Dawn transportation and distribution for each rate class in Columns 1 and 3 respectively. The commodity, load balancing, transportation and Dawn transportation revenues are based on the April 1, 2020 Pass-on rates. The gas cost related revenues at existing rates and proposed rates in Document 1.1, page 4 do not include the forecast change in gas costs for 2021 as outlined at Exhibit GI-36. The impact from the change in 2021 gas costs are discussed and filed in Exhibit GI-45, document 2.1. The associated revenues are in Columns 2 and 4 respectively. The forecast distribution revenue deficiency is in Column 5. The

GAZIFÈRE INC.
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2021 RATE CASE

percentage change in the unit rates is shown in Column 6.

Q.6 Please explain how the deficiency is allocated to the rate classes and how the proposed rates are derived.

A.6 The proposed rates are determined in two stages. In stage 1, the distribution deficiency is allocated to the rate classes pro rata to their rate base allocations on a preliminary basis.

In the stage 2, the distribution deficiency allocation is reviewed and further adjustments may be performed to the distribution revenue component of the various rate classes. The final distribution deficiency by rate class and proposed revenues are shown in Columns 4 and 5 of GI-45, document 1.1, page 1.

Q.7 Please describe the adjustments made to the distribution deficiency at the rate class level in stage 2.

A.7 Adjustments are made to the revenue responsibilities of each rate class if the initial allocation of deficiency in stage 1 does not achieve important rate design objectives. These objectives include avoidance of rate shock, market acceptance, competitive position, appropriate relationships between rates, and acceptable revenue to cost "(R/C)" ratios. Table 1 below depicts the proposed 2021 distribution revenue to costs ratios for each rate class as well as the 2020 distribution revenue to cost ratios. Typically, the Company quotes a revenue to cost ratio including commodity and load balancing costs and revenues. As this filing only isolates the distribution revenue requirement, the revenue to cost ratios have been stated on a distribution only basis.

The 2021 proposed distribution deficiency is approximately \$960.0 thousand.

GAZIFÈRE INC.
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2021 RATE CASE

As explained in Exhibit GI-28, Document 1, the Company has proposed to offset the 2021 cost of service budget by approximately \$984.0 thousand by utilizing a credit balance in the 2019 Weather Normalization Deferral account. This proposal reduces the proposed 2021 distribution revenue deficiency from approximately \$1,944.0 million to \$960.0 million and results in an overall T-service rate impact for all rate classes of less than 2.7%. This one-time adjustment to the 2021 cost of service, thus reducing revenue deficiency, was viewed as appropriate to help mitigate bill impacts across all customer classes in light of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Table 1 below shows the adjustments to rates and resulting rate impacts. The Company has made two small adjustment to the 2021 revenues derived in Stage 1 so that the resulting distribution and T-service rate impacts are reasonable for all customer classes and that the revenue to cost ratios are similar to the revenue to cost ratios from last year. (...)

The Company has reduced the Rate 9 revenues by \$5.0 thousand (...) and Rate 1 by \$60.0 thousand and increased Rate 2 revenues by \$65.0 thousand. Absent the rate adjustment, the Rate 9 distribution rate increase would be 9.0% and Rate 1 would be 4%. In the Company's view, this small adjustment is appropriate to achieve rate impacts that are directionally aligned for all rate classes as can be seen in Table 1 below. The Rate 9 and Rate 1 revenue to cost ratio are now slightly higher than their 2020 levels. (...) Rate 2 revenue to cost is unchanged from its 2020 level and Rates 3, 4, and 5 have improved from 2020 levels. The Company has not attempted to further improve the revenue to cost ratio for Rate 1 for 2021 as this would offset the effort to help mitigate bill impacts across all customer classes in light of the COVID-19 pandemic.

GAZIFÈRE INC.
 PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2021 RATE CASE

Shown below is a summary of the proposed rate impacts for all rate classes for customers under Sales service and T-service (excludes commodity). The table below also shows the change in volumes by rate class for 2021 and 2020.

The rate impacts depicted in the chart below are relative to the April 1, 2020 Pass-On rates which are based on the 2020 final distribution rates and April 1, 2020 gas costs.

Table 1: 2021 Proposed Revenue Adjustments and Bill Impacts

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Rate 1</u>	<u>Rate 2</u>	<u>Rate 3</u>	<u>Rate 4</u>	<u>Rate 5</u>	<u>Rate 9</u>
Adjustments (\$'000)	0.0	<u>-60.0</u>	<u>65.0</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	-5.0
Proposed 2021 R/C Ratio – Distribution Only	1.00	<u>1.16</u>	<u>0.96</u>	<u>1.00</u>	<u>1.82</u>	<u>1.05</u>	0.53
Fiscal 2020 R/C Ratio – Distribution Only	1.00	1.13	0.96	1.33	1.97	1.10	0.58
% increase on total bill of a T-service customer	<u>2.2%</u>	<u>1.6%</u>	<u>2.7%</u>	<u>1.5%</u>	<u>0.9%</u>	<u>1.2%</u>	<u>1.1%</u>
% increase on total bill of a sales customer	<u>1.6%</u>	<u>1.1%</u>	<u>2.2%</u>	<u>0.9%</u>	<u>0.6%</u>	<u>0.6%</u>	<u>0.5%</u>
2021 Delivery Volumes (10 ⁶ m ³)	<u>187.2</u>	<u>77.7</u>	<u>69.3</u>	.2	3.8	18.3	17.9
2020 Delivery Volumes (10 ⁶ m ³)	185.9	79.1	69.3	.2	3.6	17.3	16.3

GAZIFÈRE INC.
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2021 RATE CASE

Q.8 What would be the average distribution rate impact if the Company did not propose to offset the 2021 revenue deficiency by approximately \$1.0 million from the 2019 Weather Normalization Deferral Account.

A.8 The average distribution rate impact for all rate classes would be approximately 7.1% without the offset from the 2019 Weather Normalization Deferral Account. This compares to the proposed average distribution rate impact of 3.6% for all rate classes as seen in Exhibit 45, Document 1.1, Page 4, Column 6, line 7.5.

Q.9 Are you proposing any changes to the monthly fixed charges?

A.9 No, the Company is proposing to maintain the level of monthly fixed charges for 2021. The overall level of the 2021 fixed cost recovery from the monthly fixed charges is approximately the same as in the prior years.

Q.10 Does this conclude your evidence?

A.10 Yes, it does.

GAZIFÈRE INC.

REVENUE COMPARISON - CURRENT DISTRIBUTION REVENUE VS PROPOSED DISTRIBUTION REVENUE

	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5
Item No.	Rate No.	2021 Budget Volume (10 ³ m ³)	Current Revenue D-2019-163 (000\$)	Revenue Deficiency R-4122-2020 (000\$)	Proposed Revenue R-4122-2020 (000\$)
1.	Rate 1	<u>77 665,3</u>	<u>6 837,4</u>	<u>226,6</u>	<u>7 064,1</u>
2.	Rate 2	<u>69 329,3</u>	<u>19 281,5</u>	<u>699,6</u>	<u>19 981,0</u>
3.	Rate 3	217,5	12,6	<u>0,4</u>	<u>13,0</u>
4.	Rate 4	3 789,4	143,2	<u>3,4</u>	<u>146,6</u>
5.	Rate 5	18 250,0	382,2	<u>16,4</u>	<u>398,6</u>
6.	Rate 9	17 937,2	209,4	<u>13,7</u>	<u>223,0</u>
7.	TOTAL	<u>187 188,8</u>	<u>26 866,2</u>	<u>960,0</u>	<u>27 826,2</u>

GAZIFÈRE INC.
SUMMARY OF PROPOSED RATE CHANGE BY RATE CLASS
RATE CASE 2021

DISTRIBUTION RATES ONLY

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	Approved Distribution Rates <u>D-2019-163</u> <i>col.1</i>	Proposed Adjustment <u>col.2</u>	Proposed Distribution Rates <u>R-4122-2020</u> <i>col.3</i>
<u>Rate 1:</u>				
1	Monthly Fixed Charge (\$)	17,13	0,00	17,13
Delivery Charge (¢/m³):				
2	from 0 to 100 m³	11,02	<u>0,41</u>	<u>11,43</u>
3	from 100 to 320 m³	10,24	<u>0,38</u>	<u>10,62</u>
4	from 320 to 1,000 m³	9,48	<u>0,35</u>	<u>9,83</u>
5	from 1,000 to 3,200 m³	8,71	<u>0,32</u>	<u>9,03</u>
6	from 3,200 to 10,000 m³	7,16	<u>0,26</u>	<u>7,42</u>
7	in excess of 10,000 m³	6,02	<u>0,22</u>	<u>6,24</u>
<u>Rate 2:</u>				
8	Monthly Fixed Charge (\$)	10,05	0,00	10,05
Delivery Charge (¢/m³):				
9	from 0 to 50 m³	22,11	<u>1,07</u>	<u>23,18</u>
10	from 50 to 100 m³	21,28	<u>1,03</u>	<u>22,31</u>
11	from 100 to 320 m³	20,45	<u>0,99</u>	<u>21,44</u>
12	from 320 to 1,000 m³	19,65	<u>0,95</u>	<u>20,60</u>
13	in excess of 1,000 m³	18,80	<u>0,91</u>	<u>19,71</u>
<u>Rate 3:</u>				
14	Monthly Fixed Charge: (¢/m³ of the subscribed volume)	20,68	0,00	20,68
15	Delivery Charge (¢/m³): For all volumes delivered	4,58	<u>0,18</u>	<u>4,76</u>
<u>Rate 4:</u>				
16	Monthly Fixed Charge: (¢/m³ of the subscribed volume)	20,94	0,00	20,94
Delivery Charge (¢/m³):				
17	Load factor less or equal to 70%	3,09	<u>0,09</u>	<u>3,18</u>
18	Load factor higher than 70%	2,39	<u>0,07</u>	<u>2,46</u>

GAZIFÈRE INC.
SUMMARY OF PROPOSED RATE CHANGE BY RATE CLASS
RATE CASE 2021

DISTRIBUTION RATES ONLY

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	Approved Distribution Rates D-2019-163 <i>col.1</i>	Proposed Adjustment <i>col.2</i>	Proposed Distribution Rates R-4122-2020 <i>col.3</i>
<u>Rate 5:</u>				
19	Monthly Fixed Charge: (¢/m ³ of the subscribed volume)	31,76	0,00	31,76
20	Delivery Charge (¢/m ³): For all volumes delivered	1,05	<u>0,09</u>	<u>1,14</u>
<u>Rate 6:</u>				
21	Monthly Fixed Charge: (¢/m ³ of the subscribed volume)	20,94	0,00	20,94
22	Delivery Charge (¢/m ³): Maximum	0,45	<u>0,14</u>	<u>0,59</u>
23	Minimum	0,04	<u>0,01</u>	<u>0,05</u>
<u>Rate 7:</u>				
24	Monthly Fixed Charge:	21,42	0,00	21,42
25	Delivery Charge (¢/m ³): from 0 to 100 m ³	11,81	<u>0,43</u>	<u>12,24</u>
26	from 100 to 320 m ³	11,03	<u>0,41</u>	<u>11,44</u>
27	from 320 to 1,000 m ³	10,26	<u>0,37</u>	<u>10,63</u>
28	from 1,000 to 3,200 m ³	9,48	<u>0,35</u>	<u>9,83</u>
29	from 3,200 to 10,000 m ³	7,95	<u>0,29</u>	<u>8,24</u>
30	in excess of 10,000 m ³	6,79	<u>0,25</u>	<u>7,04</u>
<u>Tarif 8:</u>				
31	Delivery Charge (¢/m ³): Maximum	1,42	<u>0,45</u>	<u>1,87</u>
32	Minimum	0,13	<u>0,04</u>	<u>0,17</u>
<u>Tarif 9:</u>				
33	Monthly Fixed Charge:	3,15	0,00	3,15
34	Delivery Charge (¢/m ³): from 0 to 1,000,000 m ³	0,24	<u>0,08</u>	<u>0,32</u>
35	in excess of 1,000,000 m ³	0,22	<u>0,07</u>	<u>0,29</u>

Gazifère Inc.
Unit Rates and Revenues by Component and Rate Class
2021 Rate Case

Line No.	D-2019-163 -April 1/20 Pass On		R-4122-2020			
	Unit rate	Total	Unit rate	Total	Variance	
	cents/m3	\$ '000	cents/m3	\$ '000	\$'000	%
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6
Rate 1						
1,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	5 225	7,62	5 225	-	0,0%
1,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	4,67	3 627	4,67	3 627	-	0,0%
1,3 Transportation	4,49	3 078	4,49	3 078	-	0,0%
1,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	72	0,79	72	-	0,0%
1,5 Distribution	8,80	6 837	9,10	7 064	227	3,3%
1,0 Total	<u>26,38</u>	<u>18 840</u>	<u>26,67</u>	<u>19 066</u>	<u>227</u>	<u>1,1%</u>
Rate 2						
2,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	5 284	7,62	5 284	-	0,0%
2,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	5,44	3 770	5,44	3 770	-	0,0%
2,3 Transportation	4,49	3 113	4,49	3 113	-	0,0%
2,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	0	0,79	0	-	0,0%
2,5 Distribution	27,81	19 281	28,82	19 981	700	3,6%
2,0 Total	<u>46,15</u>	<u>31 448</u>	<u>47,16</u>	<u>32 148</u>	<u>700</u>	<u>2,2%</u>
Rate 3						
3,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	17	7,62	17	-	0,0%
3,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	1,87	4	1,87	4	-	0,0%
3,3 Transportation	4,49	10	4,49	10	-	0,0%
3,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	-	0,79	-	-	0,0%
3,5 Distribution	5,79	13	5,97	13	0	3,1%
3,0 Total	<u>20,56</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>20,74</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0,9%</u>
Rate 4						
4,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	-	7,62	-	-	0,0%
4,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	1,27	48	1,27	48	-	0,0%
4,3 Transportation	4,49	-	4,49	-	-	0,0%
4,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	30	0,79	30	-	0,0%
4,5 Distribution	3,78	143	3,87	147	3	2,4%
4,0 Total	<u>17,95</u>	<u>221</u>	<u>18,05</u>	<u>225</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0,6%</u>
Rate 5						
5,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	-	7,62	-	-	0,0%
5,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	1,08	197	1,08	197	-	0,0%
5,3 Transportation	4,49	-	4,49	-	-	0,0%
5,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	145	0,79	145	-	0,0%
5,5 Distribution	2,09	382	2,18	399	16	4,3%
5,0 Total	<u>16,08</u>	<u>724</u>	<u>16,17</u>	<u>740</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>0,6%</u>
Rate 9						
6,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	303	7,62	303	-	0,0%
6,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	1,20	215	1,20	215	-	0,0%
6,3 Transportation	4,49	179	4,49	179	-	0,0%
6,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	111	0,79	111	-	0,0%
6,5 Distribution	1,17	209	1,24	223	14	6,5%
6,0 Total	<u>15,27</u>	<u>1 016</u>	<u>15,35</u>	<u>1 030</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>0,5%</u>
Gazifère Total						
7,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	10 829	7,62	10 829	-	0,0%
7,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	4,20	7 860	4,20	7 860	-	0,0%
7,3 Transportation	4,49	6 379	4,49	6 379	-	0,0%
7,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	357	0,79	357	-	0,0%
7,5 Distribution	14,35	26 866	14,87	27 826	960	3,6%
7,0 Total	<u>31,46</u>	<u>52 292</u>	<u>31,97</u>	<u>53 252</u>	<u>960</u>	<u>1,6%</u>

Note:

(1) The % variance depicts the change in the unit rates.

GAZIFÈRE INC.
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2021 RATE CASE

Q.1 Please state your full name, and your current position.

A.1 My name is Jackie Collier, I am Rate Design Specialist, at Enbridge Gas Inc. I am Anton Kacicnik. I am Manager Rates at Enbridge Gas Inc.

Q.2 What are your professional qualifications, experience, and previous appearances before this or other regulatory tribunals?

A.2 Please refer to our Curriculum Vitae filed at Exhibit GI-45, documents 4 and 5.

Q.3 What is the purpose of this testimony?

A.3 This testimony addresses the derivation of Gazifère's (the "Company") distribution and gas costs rates for 2021. In addition to the 2021 distribution rates filed in Exhibit GI-45, document 1.1, the Company has designed rates to reflect the impact of the gas cost consequences stemming from the proposed forecast of 2021 volumes, contract demand, lost and unaccounted for gas, Niagara Gas costs and the impact from the update in heat content. This impact results in a gas cost revenue sufficiency for 2021 of approximately \$(3.7) thousand. This sufficiency combined with the distribution deficiency of approximately \$960.0 thousand results in a total Company deficiency inclusive of gas costs of \$956.3 thousand effective January 1, 2021. The gas cost sufficiency of approximately \$(3.7) thousand is based on April 1, 2020 Rate 200 gas costs. The derivation of the \$(3.7) thousand is presented in evidence at Exhibit GI-36, document 1.

The development of the distribution rates is outlined in Exhibit GI-45, document 1. The development of the gas supply, load balancing and transportation rates are based on the results of the fully allocated cost study.

GAZIFÈRE INC.
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2021 RATE CASE

These rates are entirely cost based and contain no rate design adjustments. The fully allocated cost study inclusive of gas costs is filed at Exhibit GI-44, document 2.1.

The rates including the impacts of the 2021 gas costs consequences can be found at Exhibit GI-45, document 2.1 pages 1 to 6.

Q.4 What are the proposed customer rate impacts resulting from the 2021 deficiency of \$956.3 thousand inclusive of 2021 gas costs?

A.4 Below is a summary of the proposed 2021 rate impacts for all customer classes expressed on a Sales service and T-service (excludes commodity) basis.

<u>Rate Class</u>	<u>Sales Service</u>	<u>T-Service</u>
Rate 1	<u>2.2%</u>	<u>3.5%</u>
Rate 2	<u>1.5%</u>	<u>2.0%</u>
Rate 3	<u>2.8%</u>	<u>5.2%</u>
Rate 4	<u>0.3%</u>	<u>1.0%</u>
Rate 5	<u>-0.2%</u>	<u>0.2%</u>
Rate 9	<u>-0.2%</u>	<u>0.2%</u>

Q.5 Are you proposing any changes to the rate design methodology for 2021?

A.5 No, the Company is proposing no changes to its 2021 rate design methodology.

Q.6 Does this conclude your evidence?

A.6 Yes, it does.

GAZIFÈRE INC.
RATE CASE 2021
PROPOSED REVENUE RECOVERY BY RATE CLASS (\$000)

		Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8	Col. 9	Col. 10	Col. 11	Col. 12	Col. 13	Col. 14	Col. 15	Col. 16	Col. 17	Col. 18
		Revenues D-2019-163 - April 2020 2020 Pass-On						(Sufficiency)/Deficiency						Proposed Revenues R-4122-2020					
Item No.	Rate No.	Distribution	Gas Supply Load Bal.	Transportation	Dawn Transportation	Gas Supply Commodity	Total	Distribution	Gas Supply Load Bal.	Transportation	Dawn Transportation	Gas Supply Commodity	Total	Distribution	Gas Supply Load Bal.	Transportation	Dawn Transportation	Gas Supply Commodity	Total
1.	Rate 1	6 837.5	3 626.7	3 078.1	72.2	5 225.2	18 839.6	226.6	290.3	(21.6)	0,4	(38.3)	457.4	7 064.1	3 916.9	3 056.5	72.6	5 187.0	19 297.0
2.	Rate 2	19 281.5	3 770.1	3 112.7	0,0	5 284.0	31 448.4	699.6	(160.5)	(21.9)	0,0	(38.8)	478.4	19 981.0	3 609.6	3 090.9	0,0	5 245.3	31 926.8
3.	Rate 3	12,6	4,1	9,8	0,0	16,6	43,0	0,4	1,1	(0,1)	0,0	(0,1)	1,3	13,0	5,1	9,7	0,0	16,5	44,3
4.	Rate 4	143,2	48,0	0,0	30,0	0,0	221,2	3,3	1,5	0,0	0,2	0,0	5,0	146,6	49,5	0,0	30,2	0,0	226,2
5.	Rate 5	382,2	196,7	0,0	144,6	0,0	723,5	16,4	(8,5)	0,0	0,8	0,0	8,7	398,6	188,3	0,0	145,4	0,0	732,2
6.	Rate 9	209,3	214,9	178,5	110,6	303,0	1 016,4	13,7	(5,2)	(1,3)	0,6	(2,2)	5,6	223,0	209,7	177,3	111,2	300,8	1 021,8
7.	TOTAL	26 866,2	7 860,4	6 379,1	357,5	10 828,9	52 292,1	960,0	118,7	(44,8)	1,9	(79,4)	956,3	27 826,3	7 979,1	6 334,3	359,4	10 749,5	53 248,3

Gazifere Inc.
Summary of Proposed Change by Rate Class
Rate Case 2021

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	D-2019-163 April 1, 2020	Proposed Adjustment	Proposed R-4122-2020
		<i>col.1</i>	<i>col.2</i>	<i>col.3</i>
Rate 1:				
1	Monthly Fixed Charge (\$)	17,13	0,00	17,13
Delivery Charge (¢/m ³):				
2	from 0 to 100 m ³	15,68	<u>0,79</u>	<u>16,47</u>
3	from 100 to 320 m ³	14,90	<u>0,76</u>	<u>15,66</u>
4	from 320 to 1,000 m ³	14,14	<u>0,73</u>	<u>14,87</u>
5	from 1,000 to 3,200 m ³	13,37	<u>0,70</u>	<u>14,07</u>
6	from 3,200 to 10,000 m ³	11,82	<u>0,64</u>	<u>12,46</u>
7	in excess of 10,000 m ³	10,68	<u>0,60</u>	<u>11,28</u>
8	Transportation Charge	4,49	(0,03)	4,46
9	Dawn Transportation Charge (1)	0,79	0,01	0,80
10	Gas Supply Charge	7,62	(0,05)	7,57
Rate 2:				
11	Monthly Fixed Charge (\$)	10,05	0,00	10,05
Delivery Charge (¢/m ³):				
12	from 0 to 50 m ³	27,55	<u>0,84</u>	<u>28,39</u>
13	from 50 to 100 m ³	26,72	<u>0,80</u>	<u>27,52</u>
14	from 100 to 320 m ³	25,89	<u>0,76</u>	<u>26,65</u>
15	from 320 to 1,000 m ³	25,09	<u>0,72</u>	<u>25,81</u>
16	in excess of 1,000 m ³	24,24	<u>0,68</u>	<u>24,92</u>
17	Transportation Charge	4,49	(0,03)	4,46
18	Dawn Transportation Charge (1)	0,79	0,01	0,80
19	Gas Supply Charge	7,62	(0,05)	7,57
Rate 3:				
20	Monthly Fixed Charge: (¢/m ³ of the subscribed volume)	20,68	0,00	20,68
Delivery Charge (¢/m ³):				
21	For all volumes delivered	6,43	<u>0,69</u>	<u>7,12</u>
22	Transportation Charge	4,49	(0,03)	4,46
23	Dawn Transportation Charge (1)	0,79	0,01	0,80
24	Gas Supply Charge	7,62	(0,05)	7,57
Rate 4:				
25	Monthly Fixed Charge: (¢/m ³ of the subscribed volume)	20,94	0,00	20,94
Delivery Charge (¢/m ³):				
26	Load factor less or equal to 70%	4,36	<u>0,12</u>	<u>4,48</u>
27	Load factor higher than 70%	3,66	<u>0,11</u>	<u>3,77</u>
28	Transportation Charge	4,49	(0,03)	4,46
29	Dawn Transportation Charge (1)	0,79	0,01	0,80
30	Gas Supply Charge	7,62	(0,05)	7,57

Gazifere Inc.
Summary of Proposed Change by Rate Class
Rate Case 2021

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	D-2019-163 April 1, 2020	Proposed Adjustment	Proposed R-4122-2020
		<i>col.1</i>	<i>col.2</i>	<i>col.3</i>
Rate 5:				
31	Monthly Fixed Charge: (\$/m ³ of the subscribed volume)	31,76	0,00	31,76
32	Delivery Charge (\$/m ³): For all volumes delivered	2,13	<u>0,04</u>	<u>2,17</u>
33	Transportation Charge	4,49	(0,03)	4,46
34	Dawn Transportation Charge (1)	0,79	0,01	0,80
35	Gas Supply Charge	7,62	(0,05)	7,57
Rate 6:				
36	Monthly Fixed Charge: (\$/m ³ of the subscribed volume)	20,94	0,00	20,94
37	Delivery Charge (\$/m ³): Maximum	1,65	<u>0,11</u>	<u>1,76</u>
38	Minimum	1,24	(0,02)	<u>1,22</u>
39	Transportation Charge	4,49	(0,03)	4,46
	Dawn Transportation Charge (1)	0,79	0,01	0,80
40	Gas Supply Charge	7,62	(0,05)	7,57
Rate 7:				
	Monthly Fixed Charge	21,42	0,00	21,42
	Delivery Charge (\$/m ³):			
41	from 0 to 100 m ³	16,47	<u>0,81</u>	<u>17,28</u>
42	from 100 to 320 m ³	15,69	<u>0,79</u>	<u>16,48</u>
43	from 320 to 1,000 m ³	14,92	<u>0,75</u>	<u>15,67</u>
44	from 1,000 to 3,200 m ³	14,15	<u>0,73</u>	<u>14,88</u>
45	from 3,200 to 10,000 m ³	12,61	<u>0,67</u>	<u>13,28</u>
46	in excess of 10,000 m ³	11,45	<u>0,63</u>	<u>12,08</u>
47	Transportation Charge	4,49	(0,03)	4,46
48	Dawn Transportation Charge (1)	0,79	0,01	0,80
49	Gas Supply Charge	7,62	(0,05)	7,57
Tarif 8:				
	Delivery Charge (\$/m ³):			
50	Maximum	2,62	<u>0,42</u>	<u>3,04</u>
51	Minimum	1,33	<u>0,01</u>	<u>1,34</u>
52	Transportation Charge	4,49	(0,03)	4,46
53	Dawn Transportation Charge (1)	0,79	0,01	0,80
54	Gas Supply Charge	7,62	(0,05)	7,57
Tarif 9:				
55	Monthly Fixed Charge: (\$/m ³ times the maximum daily volume)	3,15	0,00	3,15
	Delivery Charge (\$/m ³):			
56	from 0 to 1,000,000 m ³	1,44	<u>0,05</u>	<u>1,49</u>
57	in excess of 1,000,000 m ³	1,42	<u>0,04</u>	<u>1,46</u>
58	Transportation Charge	4,49	(0,03)	4,46
59	Dawn Transportation Charge (1)	0,79	0,01	0,80
60	Gas Supply Charge	7,62	(0,05)	7,57

GAZIFÈRE INC
CALCULATION OF GAS SUPPLY, LOAD BALANCING AND TRANSPORTATION COSTS BY RATE CLASS
RATE CASE 2021

Item No.	Description	Total	Rate 1	Rate 2	Rate 3	Rate 4	Rate 5	Rate 9
GAS SUPPLY ALLOCATION (\$000)								
1,1	Annual Commodity - Cost of Service	<u>10 780,6</u>	<u>5 201,9</u>	<u>5 260,5</u>	16,5	0,0	0,0	301,7
1	Total Gas Supply - Cost of Service	<u>10 780,6</u>	<u>5 201,9</u>	<u>5 260,5</u>	16,5	0,0	0,0	301,7
2,1	Annual Commodity - Return on Rate Base	<u>-31,2</u>	<u>-15,0</u>	<u>-15,2</u>	0,0	0,0	0,0	-0,9
2	Total Gas Supply - Return on Rate Base	<u>-31,2</u>	<u>-15,0</u>	<u>-15,2</u>	0,0	0,0	0,0	-0,9
3	Total Commodity	<u>10 749,5</u>	<u>5 187,0</u>	<u>5 245,3</u>	16,5	0,0	0,0	300,8
LOAD BALANCING ALLOCATION (\$000)								
4,1	Transmission - Cost of Service	<u>5 711,6</u>	<u>2 751,9</u>	<u>2 544,0</u>	<u>4,1</u>	<u>46,5</u>	<u>190,0</u>	<u>175,2</u>
4,2	Storage - Cost of Service	<u>2 309,7</u>	<u>1 184,2</u>	<u>1 083,1</u>	<u>1,1</u>	<u>3,6</u>	<u>0,8</u>	<u>37,0</u>
4	Total Load Balancing - Cost of Service	<u>8 021,3</u>	<u>3 936,1</u>	<u>3 627,0</u>	<u>5,2</u>	<u>50,1</u>	<u>190,8</u>	<u>212,2</u>
5,1	Transmission - Return on Rate Base	<u>-35,5</u>	<u>-15,7</u>	<u>-14,2</u>	0,0	-0,5	-2,6	-2,4
5,2	Storage - Return on Rate Base	<u>-6,7</u>	<u>-3,4</u>	<u>-3,1</u>	0,0	0,0	0,0	-0,1
5	Total Load Balancing - Return on Rate Base	<u>-42,2</u>	<u>-19,2</u>	<u>-17,4</u>	0,0	-0,6	-2,6	-2,5
6	Total Load Balancing	<u>7 979,1</u>	<u>3 916,9</u>	<u>3 609,6</u>	<u>5,1</u>	<u>49,5</u>	<u>188,3</u>	<u>209,7</u>
7	Transportation	<u>6 334,3</u>	<u>3 056,5</u>	<u>3 090,9</u>	9,7	0,0	0,0	177,3
8	Dawn Transportation	<u>359,4</u>	<u>72,6</u>	0,0	0,0	30,2	145,4	111,2
UNIT GAS COSTS (Cents/m3)								
9	Gas Supply Unit Rate	<u>7,57</u>	<u>7,57</u>	<u>7,57</u>	<u>7,57</u>	<u>7,57</u>	<u>7,57</u>	<u>7,57</u>
10	Load Balancing Unit Rate	<u>4,26</u>	<u>5,04</u>	<u>5,21</u>	<u>2,36</u>	<u>1,31</u>	<u>1,03</u>	<u>1,17</u>
11	Transportation Unit Rate	4,46	4,46	4,46	4,46	4,46	4,46	4,46
12	Dawn Transportation Unit Rate	0,80	0,80	0,80	0,80	0,80	0,80	0,80

**GAZIFÈRE INC.
OTHER COMPONENTS OF THE RATE
RATE CASE 2021**

Line no	Description	Average unit rate of last block of the proposed rate ϕ/m^3 1	Unit load balancing rate ϕ/m^3 2	Proposed rate of other components ϕ/m^3 3=1+2
1	Billing of the deficiency in minimum and annual volume			
2	Rate 3	<u>11,58</u>	<u>(6,81)</u>	<u>4,76</u>
3	Rate 3 Dawn T-Service	<u>7,91</u>	<u>(3,15)</u>	<u>4,76</u>
4	Rate 4			
5	load factor less or equal to 70%	<u>8,95</u>	<u>(5,76)</u>	<u>3,18</u>
6	Rate 4 Dawn T-Service	<u>5,28</u>	<u>(2,10)</u>	<u>3,18</u>
7	load factor higher than 70%	<u>8,23</u>	<u>(5,76)</u>	<u>2,46</u>
8	Rate 4 Dawn T-Service	<u>4,56</u>	<u>(2,10)</u>	<u>2,46</u>
9	Rate 5	<u>6,63</u>	<u>(5,49)</u>	<u>1,14</u>
10	Rate 5 Dawn T-Service	<u>2,97</u>	<u>(1,83)</u>	<u>1,14</u>
11	Rate 9	<u>5,92</u>	<u>(5,63)</u>	<u>0,29</u>
12	Rate 9 Dawn T-Service	<u>2,26</u>	<u>(1,97)</u>	<u>0,29</u>
	Maximum charge on a prorated basis of any annual minimum bill incurred by Gazifère			
13	Rate 3	<u>11,58</u>	s/o	<u>11,58</u>
14	Rate 3 Dawn T-Service	<u>7,91</u>	s/o	<u>7,91</u>
15	Rate 4			
16	load factor less or equal to 70%	<u>8,95</u>	s/o	<u>8,95</u>
17	Rate 4 Dawn T-Service	<u>5,28</u>	s/o	<u>5,28</u>
18	load factor higher than 70%	<u>8,23</u>	s/o	<u>8,23</u>
19	Rate 4 Dawn T-Service	<u>4,56</u>	s/o	<u>4,56</u>
20	Rate 5	<u>6,63</u>	s/o	<u>6,63</u>
21	Rate 5 Dawn T-Service	<u>2,97</u>	s/o	<u>2,97</u>
22	Rate 9	<u>5,92</u>	s/o	<u>5,92</u>
23	Rate 9 Dawn T-Service	<u>2,26</u>	s/o	<u>2,26</u>

Notes:

Column 1: Average Unit Rate = Last Block of Delivery Charge + Transportation rate

Column 2: Unit Load Balancing Rate = (Load Balancing and Transportation Costs)

Gazifère Inc.
Unit Rates and Revenues by Component and Rate Class
2021 Rate Case

Line No.	D-2019-163 -April 1/20 Pass On		R-4122-2020			
	Unit rate	Total	Unit rate	Total	Variance	
	cents/m3	\$ '000	cents/m3	\$ '000	\$'000	% ⁽¹⁾
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6
Rate 1						
1,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	5 225	7,57	5 187	(38)	-0,7%
1,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	4,67	3 627	5,04	3 917	290	8,0%
1,3 Transportation	4,49	3 078	4,46	3 056	(22)	-0,7%
1,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	72	0,80	73	0	0,0%
1,5 Distribution	8,80	6 837	9,10	7 064	227	3,3%
1,0 Total	26,38	18 840	26,96	19 297	457	2,2%
Rate 2						
2,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	5 284	7,57	5 245	(39)	-0,7%
2,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	5,44	3 770	5,21	3 610	(160)	-4,3%
2,3 Transportation	4,49	3 113	4,46	3 091	(22)	-0,7%
2,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	0	0,80	0	0	0,0%
2,5 Distribution	27,81	19 281	28,82	19 981	700	3,6%
2,0 Total	46,15	31 448	46,85	31 927	478	1,5%
Rate 3						
3,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	17	7,57	16	(0)	-0,7%
3,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	1,87	4	2,36	5	1	26,1%
3,3 Transportation	4,49	10	4,46	10	(0)	-0,7%
3,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	-	0,80	-	-	0,0%
3,5 Distribution	5,79	13	5,97	13	0	3,1%
3,0 Total	20,56	43	21,14	44	1	2,8%
Rate 4						
4,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	-	7,57	-	-	-0,7%
4,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	1,27	48	1,31	50	2	3,1%
4,3 Transportation	4,49	-	4,46	-	-	-0,7%
4,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	30	0,80	30	0	0,0%
4,5 Distribution	3,78	143	3,87	147	3	2,4%
4,0 Total	17,95	221	18,00	226	5	0,3%
Rate 5						
5,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	-	7,57	-	-	-0,7%
5,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	1,08	197	1,03	188	(8)	-4,3%
5,3 Transportation	4,49	-	4,46	-	-	-0,7%
5,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	145	0,80	145	1	0,0%
5,5 Distribution	2,09	382	2,18	399	16	4,3%
5,0 Total	16,08	724	16,04	732	9	-0,2%
Rate 9						
6,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	303	7,57	301	(2)	-0,7%
6,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	1,20	215	1,17	210	(5)	-2,4%
6,3 Transportation	4,49	179	4,46	177	(1)	-0,7%
6,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	111	0,80	111	1	0,0%
6,5 Distribution	1,17	209	1,24	223	14	6,5%
6,0 Total	15,27	1 016	15,23	1 022	6	-0,2%
Gazifère Total						
7,1 Gas Supply Commodity	7,62	10 829	7,57	10 749	(79)	-0,7%
7,2 Gas Supply Load Balancing	4,20	7 860	4,26	7 979	119	1,5%
7,3 Transportation	4,49	6 379	4,46	6 334	(45)	-0,7%
7,4 Dawn Transportation	0,79	357	0,80	359	2	0,5%
7,5 Distribution	14,35	26 866	14,87	27 826	960	3,6%
7,0 Total	31,46	52 292	31,95	53 248	956	1,6%

Note:

(1) The % variance depicts the change in the unit rates.

GAZIFÈRE INC.
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2022 RATE CASE

Q.1 Please state your full name, and your current position.

A.1 My name is Jackie Collier, I am Rate Design Specialist, at Enbridge Gas Inc. I am Anton Kacicnik. I am Manager Rates at Enbridge Gas Inc.

Q.2 What are your professional qualifications, experience, and previous appearances before this or other regulatory tribunals?

A.2 Please refer to our Curriculum Vitae filed at Exhibit GI-45, documents 4 and 5.

Q.3 What is the purpose of this testimony?

A.3 This testimony provides an estimated high level rate impact based on the Company's proposed 2022 distribution revenue requirement. The 2020 proposed distribution revenue requirement is \$28,374 (thousand). The Company has not prepared or filed a 2022 cost allocation study and rate design evidence based on the proposed 2022 distribution revenue requirement. This evidence will be prepared and filed when the Company finalizes and files its updated 2022 rate application.

Q.4 What is the distribution revenue deficiency and how much is it for the test year?

A.4 The distribution revenue deficiency is the difference between the distribution revenue requirement for the test year determined by the 2022 cost of service budget and the revenues derived by applying the current distribution rates from the Régie's Decision D-2019-163 (2020 rates) to the 2022 test year number of customers and volumes. It is \$3,398 thousand for 2022. In other words, revenues at current rates are \$3,398 thousand lower than the 2022

GAZIFÈRE INC.
PRE-FILED EVIDENCE OF JACKIE COLLIER AND ANTON KACICNIK
2022 RATE CASE

revenue requirement.

Q.5 What are the estimated customer rate impacts for 2022?

A.5 As mentioned above, the proposed \$3,398 (thousand) distribution revenue deficiency was derived based on the D-2019-163 (2020 rates) applied to the 2022 number of customers and volumes. The Company will update the revenue at existing rates once the proposed R-4122-2020 (2021 rates) are approved by the Régie. Having said that, the Company's estimates that the 2022 proposed revenue deficiency will decrease from approximately \$3,398 to \$2,928 (thousand) once the 2021 rates are applied to the 2022 forecast number of customers and volumes.

Based on an estimated revenue deficiency of \$2,928 (thousand), a typical Rate 2 residential customer would see an increase of approximately 6.0% on a sales service basis and 7.2% on a T-service basis (excluding commodity). The Company estimates that all other rates classes would see an increase that is lower than the estimated Rate 2 impact.

Q.6 Does this conclude your evidence?

A.6 Yes, it does.

**CURRICULUM VITAE OF
JACKIE E. COLLIER**

Experience: Enbridge Gas Inc.

Rate Design Specialist
2019

Manager, Rate Design
2003

Manager, Rate Research
2000

Senior Rate Research Analyst
1996

Centra Gas Ontario Inc.

Manager, Rate Design
1995

Supervisor, Cost of Service Studies
1990

Education: Bachelor of Business Management
Ryerson Polytechnical Institute, 1988

Appearances: (Ontario Energy Board)

EB-2020-0095
EB-2019-0194
EB-2018-0305
EB-2017-0086
EB-2016-0215
EB-2015-0114
EB-2014-0276
EB-2013-0036
EB-2012-0459
EB-2012-0451
EB-2012-0055
EB-2011-0354
EB-2011-0277
EB-2011-0242
EB-2010-0146
EB-2009-0172
EB-2008-0219
EB-2007-0615
EB-2006-0034
EB-2005-0001
RP-2003-0203
RP-2003-0048
RP-2002-0133
RP-2001-0032
RP-2000-0040
EBRO 489
EBRO 474-B, 483,484
EBRO 474-A
EBRO 474

**CURRICULUM VITAE OF
JACKIE E. COLLIER**

EBRO 471

(Régie de l'énergie/Régie du gaz naturel)

R-4032-2018

R-4003-2017

R-3969-2016

R-3924-2015

R-3884-2014

R-3840-2013

R-3793-2012

R-3758-2011

R-3724-2010

R-3692-2009

R-3637-2008

R-3637-2007

R-3621-2006

R-3587-2005

R-3537-2004

R-3464-2001

R-3446-2000

CURRICULUM VITAE OF
ANTON KACICNIK

Experience: Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.

Manager, Rates
2007

Manager, Cost Allocation
2003

Program Manager, Opportunity Development
1999

Project Supervisor, Technology & Development
1996

Pipeline Inspector, Construction & Maintenance
1993

Education: Bachelor of Applied Science (Civil Engineering)
University of Waterloo, 1996

Memberships: Professional Engineers of Ontario

Appearances: (Ontario Energy Board)

EB-2020-0134
EB-2020-0095
EB-2019-0194
EB-2018-0305
EB-2017-0131
EB-2017-0307
EB-2017-0306
EB-2017-0102
EB-2017-0086
EB-2016-0300
EB-2016-0215
EB-2016-0142
EB-2015-0114
EB-2015-0122
EB-2014-0276
EB-2013-0046
EB-2012-0055
EB-2011-0354
EB-2011-0277
EB-2011-0008
EB-2010-0146
EB-2010-0042
EB-2009-0172

EB-2009-0055
EB-2008-0106
EB-2008-0219
EB-2007-0615
EB-2007-0724
EB-2006-0034
EB-2005-0551
EB-2005-0001

(RÉGIE DE L'ÉNERGIE)

R-4032-2018
R-4003-2017
R-3924-2015
R-3884-2014
R-3840-2013
R-3793-2012
R-3758-2011
R-3724-2010
R-3665-2008
R-3637-2007
R-3621-2006
R-3587-2006
R-3537-2004