

C A N A D A

PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC  
DISTRICT DE MONTRÉAL

DOSSIER R-4169-2021  
Phase 1 – Le secteur résidentiel

---

RÉGIE DE L'ÉNERGIE

---

MESURES D'HQD-ÉNERGIR  
DE SOUTIEN À LA DÉCARBONATION  
DU CHAUFFAGE DES BÂTIMENTS

---

HYDRO-QUÉBEC  
En sa qualité de distributeur  
-et-  
ÉNERGIR

Demanderes

-et-

REGROUPEMENT POUR LA TRANSITION,  
L'INNOVATION ET L'EFFICACITÉ ÉNERGÉTIQUES  
(RTIEÉ), un Regroupement comprenant les  
organismes suivants : l'Association québécoise de  
lutte contre la pollution atmosphérique (AQLPA),  
Stratégies Énergétiques (S.É.), le Groupe d'Initiatives  
et de Recherches Appliquées au Milieu (GIRAM) et  
Énergie solaire Québec (ÉSQ)

Intervenant

---

**James C. BONBRIGHT**, *Principles of Public Utility Rates*, Columbia University Press,  
New York NY, 1961 and ff. Excerpt (Criteria of a sound rate structure),  
[http://publicsde.regie-energie.qc.ca/projets/317/DocPri/R-3933-2015-A-0048-Audi-Piece-2015\\_12\\_10.pdf](http://publicsde.regie-energie.qc.ca/projets/317/DocPri/R-3933-2015-A-0048-Audi-Piece-2015_12_10.pdf).

Déposé par le Regroupement pour la transition, l'innovation et l'efficacité énergétiques (RTIEÉ)

Le 25 février 2022



and acceptability. However, the sequence in which the ten attributes are presented is not meant to suggest any order of importance. Moreover, there is, perforce, some inconsistency and redundancy in any such listing. We are simply trying to identify the desirable characteristics of utility performance that regulators should seek to compel through edict.

*Revenue-related Attributes:*

1. Effectiveness in yielding total revenue requirements under the fair-return standard without any socially undesirable expansion of the rate base or socially undesirable level of product quality and safety.
2. Revenue stability and predictability, with a minimum of unexpected changes seriously adverse to utility companies.
3. Stability and predictability of the rates themselves, with a minimum of unexpected changes seriously adverse to ratepayers and with a sense of historical continuity. (Compare "The best tax is an old tax.")

*Cost-related Attributes:*

4. Static efficiency of the rate classes and rate blocks in discouraging wasteful use of service while promoting all justified types and amounts of use:

Régie de l'énergie

DOSSIER. R-3933-2015

DÉPOSÉE EN AUDIENC

Date: 10 DEC.  
2015

Pièces n°: A-0048

- (a) in the control of the total amounts of service supplied by the company;

- (b) in the control of the relative uses of alternative types of service by ratepayers (on-peak versus off-peak service or higher quality versus lower quality service).

5. Reflection of all of the present and future private and social costs and benefits occasioned by a service's provision (i.e., all internalities and externalities).
6. Fairness of the specific rates in the apportionment of total costs of service among the different ratepayers so as to avoid arbitrariness and capriciousness and to attain equity in three

dimensions: (1) *horizontal* (i.e., equals treated equally); (2) *vertical* (i.e., unequals treated unequally); and (3) *anonymous* (i.e., no ratepayer's demands can be diverted away uneconomically from an incumbent by a potential entrant).

7. Avoidance of undue discrimination in rate relationships so as to be, if possible, compensatory (i.e., subsidy free with no intercustomer burdens).
8. Dynamic efficiency in promoting innovation and responding economically to changing demand and supply patterns.

*Practical-related Attributes:*

9. The related, practical attributes of simplicity, certainty, convenience of payment, economy in collection, understandability, public acceptability, and feasibility of application.
10. Freedom from controversies as to proper interpretation.

Lists of this nature are useful in reminding the ratemaker of considerations that might otherwise be neglected, and also useful in suggesting important reasons why problems of practical rate design do not yield readily to scientific principles of optimum pricing. But they are unqualified to serve as a base on which to build these principles because of their ambiguities (how, for example, does one define "undue discrimination"?), their overlapping character, their inconsistencies, and their failure to offer any basis for establishing priorities in the event of a conflict. For such a basis, we must start with a simpler and more fundamental classification of ratemaking functions and objectives.

Some of these attributes in the aforementioned list are based directly on the primary functions of public utility rates first presented in Chapter 4, and the related objectives to be sought in the establishment of a cost-based standard of ratemaking (Chapter 5). These objectives provided the basis for development of the criteria of a fair return (Chapter 10). These same objectives, derived from the four primary functions, can now be used to specify the criteria of a sound rate structure discussed in the following section.

### **The Primary Criteria Are Based on the Objectives of Regulation**

General principles of public utility rates and rate differentials are necessarily based on simplified assumptions both as to the objectives

of ratemaking policy and as to the factual circumstances under which these objectives are sought to be attained. Attempts to make these stated principles subserve all special objectives and cover all specific conditions would be hopeless. Writers on the theory of rates are therefore at liberty to base their analyses on the acceptance of those objectives which are of wide application and the attainment of which may be aided by whatever tests or measures of sound rate structure the analyses suggest.

Among these objectives, the following three may be called primary, not only because of their widespread acceptance, but also because most of the more detailed objectives discussed in the literature are ancillary thereto: (1) the revenue-requirement, production-motivation, or financial-need objective; (2) the optimum-use, demand control, or consumer-rationing objective; and (3) the compensatory income transfer function or fair-cost-apportionment objective. Based on these objectives we propose the following three primary criteria by which to judge the soundness and desirability of a rate structure for public utility enterprises. As outlined below, these objectives are related closely to five of the ten attributes specified above.

*Criterion 1 - Capital Attraction*

(Attribute 1): based on the revenue-requirement objective, with due regard to potential problems of socially undesirable levels of rate base, product quality, and safety; it takes the form of a fair-return standard with respect to private utility companies;

*Criterion 2 - Consumer Rationing*

(Attributes 4 and 5): based on the consumer-rationing objective, under which the rates are designed to discourage the wasteful use of public utility services while promoting all use that is economically justified in view of the relationships between the private and social costs incurred and benefits received;

*Criterion 3 - Fairness to Ratepayers*

(Attributes 6 and 7): fair-cost-apportionment objective, which invokes the principle that the burden of meeting total revenue requirements must be distributed *fairly* and without arbitrariness, capriciousness, and inequities among the beneficiaries of the service and so as, if possible, to avoid undue discrimination.

The objectives specified above correspond to three of the four primary functions of utility rates set forth in Chapter 4. The efficiency-incentive function, or that of encouraging managerial efficiency, is